Dukes v. Barnes et al Doc. 9

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

RICO DUKES,	§
Plaintiff,	<b>§</b> <b>§</b>
v.	§ Civil Action No. 3:17-CV-2064-L-BF
	§
M. BARNES and DALLAS POLICE,	§
	§
Defendants.	§

## **ORDER**

On November 20, 2017, United States Magistrate Judge Paul D. Stickney entered the Findings, Conclusions and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge ("Report"), recommending that this action be dismissed without prejudice under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) for failure to prosecute or comply with a court order. No objections to the Report were filed.

Having reviewed the pleadings, record in this case, and Report, the court determines that the findings and conclusions of the magistrate judge are correct, and **accepts** them as those of the court. Accordingly, the court **dismisses without prejudice** this action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) for failure to prosecute or comply with a court order.

The court prospectively **certifies** that any appeal of this action would not be taken in good faith. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3); Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(3). In support of this certification, the court **incorporates** by reference the Report. *See Baugh v. Taylor*, 117 F.3d 197, 202 and n.21 (5th Cir. 1997). The court **concludes** that any appeal of this action would present no legal point of arguable merit and would, therefore, be frivolous. *Howard v. King*, 707 F.2d 215, 220 (5th Cir. 1983). In the event of an appeal, Plaintiff may challenge this certification by filing a separate motion to proceed

in forma pauperis on appeal with the clerk of the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit. See Baugh, 117 F.3d at 202; Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(5).

**It is so ordered** this 22nd day of December, 2017.

Sam G. Sindsay

United States District Judge