

**United States District Court**  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
 DALLAS DIVISION

DINESH SAH	§	
	§	
v.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:22-CV-1688-S-BT
	§	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	§	

**ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATION  
 OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

The United States Magistrate Judge made findings, conclusions, and a recommendation in this case. Objections were filed. The Court reviewed de novo those portions of the proposed Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation to which objection was made, and reviewed the remaining proposed Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation for plain error. Finding no error, the Court **ACCEPTS** the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge.

Moreover, considering the record in this case, the Court **DENIES** a certificate of appealability. The Court adopts and incorporates by reference Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge filed in this case in support of its finding that Movant has failed to show (1) that reasonable jurists would find this Court’s “assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong,” or (2) that reasonable jurists would find “it debatable whether the [motion] states a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right” and “debatable whether [this Court] was correct in its procedural ruling.” *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000).

If Movant files a notice of appeal, he must pay the \$505.00 appellate filing fee or submit a motion to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

**SO ORDERED.**

SIGNED February 22, 2024.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE