## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS LUBBOCK DIVISION

DARRIN J., <sup>1</sup>	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
V.	)	
	)	
COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	Civil Action No. 5:23-CV-256-C-BT

## **ORDER**

Before the Court are the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge therein advising the Court that the decision of the Commissioner should be affirmed. Plaintiff failed to timely file any objections and the time for doing so has expired.

The Court conducts a *de novo* review of those portions of the Magistrate Judge's report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which a timely objection is made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). Portions of the report or proposed findings or recommendations that are not the subject of a timely objection will be accepted by the Court unless they are clearly erroneous or contrary to law. *See United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989).

The Court has further conducted an independent review of the Magistrate Judge's findings and conclusions and finds no error. It is therefore **ORDERED** that the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation are hereby **ADOPTED** as the findings and conclusions of the Court.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> To protect privacy concerns of plaintiffs in social security cases, the undersigned identifies the Plaintiff only by first name and last initial.

For the reasons stated therein, the decision of the Commissioner is **AFFIRMED** and Plaintiff's Complaint is **DISMISSED** with prejudice.

SO ORDERED.

Dated this day of May, 2024.

SAM'R/CUMMINGS

SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE