IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH CENTRAL DIVISION

MANUEL MIRANDA-BALDOVINOS, Petitioner,	§ 2255 ORDER AND MEMORANDUM DECISION
VS.	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Respondent.	Civil Case No. 1:16-CV-90-TC Criminal Case No. 1:07-CR-5-TC

Mr. Manuel Miranda-Baldovinos has filed a pro se motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 claiming that his sentence should be reduced as a result of the United States Supreme Court decision in <u>Johnson v. United States</u>, 135 S. Ct. 2551 (2015). Because, as explained below, <u>Johnson</u> does not affect Mr. Miranda-Baldovinos's sentence, his motion is DENIED.

Johnson v. United States

The Court in <u>Johnson</u> held that the residual clause defining "violent felony" in the Armed Career Criminal Act (ACCA) was unconstitutionally vague. 135 S. Ct. at 2563. The decision retroactively applies to a person who was convicted of having violated 18 U.S.C. § 922(g) (felon in possession of a firearm) and who had three or more previous convictions for a "violent felony" as defined by 18 U.S.C. § 924(e)(1). <u>See Welch v. United States</u>, 136 S. Ct. 1257, 1268 (2016) (holding that <u>Johnson</u> has retroactive effect in cases on collateral review (i.e., 2255 petitions)).

Mr. Miranda-Baldovinos's Conviction and Sentence

A grand jury charged Mr. Miranda-Baldovinos with having committed four crimes: possession of methamphetamine with intent to distribute in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1); possession of a firearm by a restricted person in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1); possession of a firearm with an obliterated serial number in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(k); and illegal re-entry by a deported alien in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326. He pled guilty to all four crimes.

The writer of the presentence report (PSR) enhanced Mr. Miranda-Baldovinos's offense level because Mr. Miranda-Baldovinos was a "career offender" as defined in United States Sentencing Guideline §§ 4B1.1 and 4B1.2(a)(2). (PSR ¶ 35.) Mr. Miranda-Baldovinos qualified as a career offender because his instant conviction was for possession of methamphetamine with intent to distribute a controlled substance offense (Count 1) and he had two prior felony convictions for controlled substance offenses. (Id.)

He had a total offense level of 34 and a criminal history category of VI,

which produced a guideline range of 262 to 327 months. (Id. \P 62.) There were no "crime of violence" (i.e., "violent felony") enhancements in the Guideline calculations. The court sentenced Mr. Miranda-Baldovinos to 151 months in custody followed by 5 years of supervised release.

Applicability of Johnson to Mr. Miranda-Baldovinos's Sentence

Because Mr. Miranda-Baldovinos was not charged with a violation of the ACCA, Johnson does not apply to his conviction.

Mr. Miranda-Baldovinos's career offender status under Guideline § 4B1.1 could potentially qualify him for a sentence reduction. But only if his status as a career offender was based on former convictions for "crimes of violence." <u>See United States v. Madrid</u>, 805 F.3d 1204, 1211 (10th Cir. 2015) (extending the decision in <u>Johnson</u> to the sentencing guidelines). In <u>Madrid</u>, the Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals found that the residual clause of § 4B1.2(a)(2), which was worded exactly the same as the unconstitutional residual clause in the ACCA and which defined the term "crime of violence" used in § 4B1.1 of the Guidelines, was unconstitutionally vague. <u>Id.</u> at 1210. But Mr. Miranda-Baldovinos's sentence was not enhanced for a crime of violence under § 4B1.1 and § 4B1.2(a)(2). He received the § 4B1.1 enhancement because of his prior drug convictions. Accordingly, Johnson does not extend to his sentence either.

ORDER

Because nothing in the Johnson holding has any bearing on Mr. Miranda-

Baldovinos's conviction or sentence, his petition is **DISMISSED.**

DATED: November 3, 2016.

Jena Campuel

TENA CAMPBELL United States District Court Judge