



complexity of the legal issues raised by the claims.'"<sup>5</sup>

Considering the above factors, the Court again concludes here that, at this time, Plaintiff's claims may not be colorable, the issues in this case are not complex, and Plaintiff is not at this time too incapacitated or unable to adequately function in pursuing this matter. Thus, the Court denies for now Plaintiff's motions for appointed counsel.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's motions for appointed counsel are DENIED<sup>6</sup>; however, if, after the case develops further, it appears that counsel may be needed or of specific help, the Court will ask an attorney to appear pro bono on Plaintiff's behalf.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk's office shall accept no more motions for appointed counsel from Plaintiff.

DATED this 5th day of July, 2012.

BY THE COURT:



---

JUDGE TENA CAMPBELL  
United States District Court

---

<sup>5</sup>*Rucks v. Boergermann*, 57 F.3d 978, 979 (10th Cir. 1995) (quoting *Williams*, 926 F.2d at 996); accord *McCarthy*, 753 F.2d at 838-39.

<sup>6</sup>(See Docket Entry #s 23 & 27.)