## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, TAX DIVISION,  Plaintiff,  vs.	MEMORANDUM DECISION AND ORDER WITHDRAWING THE REFERENCE and FINDING MOOT MOTION FOR STATUS CONFERENCE  Case No.: 2:18-cv-00608-DN
RAPOWER-3, LLC,  Debtor.	Judge David Nuffer
In re: RAPOWER-3, LLC, Debtor	ORDER DISMISSING THE CASE  Bankr. No. 18-bk-24865 Chapter 11 Judge Kevin R. Anderson
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  Plaintiff,  vs.  RAPOWER-3, LLC, INTERNATIONAL AUTOMATED SYSTEMS, INC., LTB1, LLC, R. GREGORY SHEPARD, NELDON JOHNSON, and ROGER FREEBORN,  Defendants.	ORDER FINDING MOOT MOTION FOR STATUS CONFERENCE  Case No. 2:15-cv-00828 DN  District Judge David Nuffer  Magistrate Judge Evelyn J. Furse

## ORDER WITHDRAWING REFERENCE - Case No. 2:18-cv-00608-DN

In Case No. 2:18-cv-00608-DN, the United States filed a motion to withdraw the reference<sup>1</sup> of Bankr. No. 18-bk-24865 (Chapter 11) due to this court's familiarity with the facts

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Case No. 2:18-cv-00608-DN, docket no. <u>2</u>, filed July 27, 2018.

underlying the dispute between the United States and RaPower-3, LLC and other defendants in Case No. 2:15-cv-00828 DN. In its response, RaPower-3 agreed that this Court should withdraw the reference.<sup>2</sup> This motion<sup>3</sup> is GRANTED. The reference of *In re: RAPOWER-3, LLC*, Bankr. No. 18-bk-24865 (Chapter 11) is WITHDRAWN.

## ORDER DISMISSING In re: RAPOWER-3, LLC, Bankr. No. 18-bk-24865 (Chapter 11)

In *In re: RAPOWER-3, LLC*, Bankr. No. 18-bk-24865 (Chapter 11), the United States moved to dismiss RaPower-3's bankruptcy petition, with findings that the filing was in bad faith, or for alternative relief.<sup>4</sup> RaPower-3's response disavowed bad faith in filing its petition but agreeing that the petition should be dismissed.<sup>5</sup> The United States replied insisting that dismissal with prejudice and adverse findings is appropriate.<sup>6</sup> RaPower-3 filed its own motion to dismiss, insisting that these findings should not be made.<sup>7</sup>

Deep familiarity with the facts of this case, after extensive motion practice and bench trial, with numerous exhibits; careful review of proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law; availability of transcripts of trial; timing of the filing which has prevented entry of final orders including a receivership order; review of the bankruptcy case filings; and review of papers on these motions shows that the filing was clearly in bad faith and that dismissal of the bankruptcy case should be with prejudice to any filing within 180 days. The summary in pages 6-13 of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> ("Debtor hereby stipulates to the DOJ's request to withdraw the reference"), Case No. 2:18-cv-00608-DN, docket no. <u>4</u>, filed August 15, 2018.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Case No. 2:18-cv-00608-DN, docket no. 2, filed July 27, 2018.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Bankr. No. 18-bk-24865, docket no. <u>13</u>, filed July 27, 2018.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Bankr. No. 18-bk-24865, docket no. 30, filed August 10, 2018.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Bankr. No. 18-bk-24865, docket no. <u>42</u>, filed August 17, 2018.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Bankr. No. 18-bk-24865, docket no. <u>45</u>, filed August 17, 2018.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See Frieouf v. United States, 938 F.2d 1099, 1105 (10th Cir. 1991).

motion to dismiss<sup>9</sup>, and the United States' reply<sup>10</sup> to the debtor's arguments are correct statements of the facts, and correct application of the law.

## MOTION FOR STATUS CONFERENCE IS MOOT Case No. 2:15-cv-00828 DN and Case No. Case No. 2:18-cv-00608-DN

The United States' Motion for Status Conference or in the Alternative Request to Submit for Decision<sup>11</sup> is found MOOT.

Signed August 22, 2018.

BY THE COURT

David Nuffer

United States District Judge

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Bankr. No. 18-bk-24865, docket no. <u>13</u>, filed July 27, 2018, at 6-13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Bankr. No. 18-bk-24865, docket no. <u>42</u>, filed August 17, 2018, at 2-5.

 $<sup>^{11}</sup>$  Case No. 2:15-cv-00828 DN, docket no.  $\underline{438}$ , filed August 17, 2018; Case No. 2:18-cv-00608-DN, docket no.  $\underline{5}$ , filed July 27, 2018.