## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Richmond Division

## INFINITE ALLAH,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 3:18CV477

SUSAN FRANK, et al.,

Defendants.

## **MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Plaintiff, a Virginia prisoner proceeding pro se filed this action. The matter is before the

Court on Plaintiff's failure to serve Defendant Steward within the time required by Federal Rule

of Civil Procedure 4(m). Rule 4(m) provides:

If a defendant is not served within 90 days after the complaint is filed, the court—on motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff—must dismiss the action without prejudice against that defendant or order that service be made within a specified time. But if the plaintiff shows good cause for the failure, the court must extend the time for service for an appropriate period. This subdivision (m) does not apply to service in a foreign country under Rule 4(f) or 4(j)(1).

Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m).

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m), Plaintiff had 90 days from the filing of

the complaint to serve the defendants. Here, that period commenced on April 5, 2019. By

Memorandum Order entered on August 2, 2019, the Court directed Plaintiff, within eleven (11)

days of the date of entry thereof, to show good cause for his failure to serve Defendant Steward

within the time required by Rule 4(m). Plaintiff has not responded. Accordingly, all claims

against Defendant Steward WILL BE DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

An appropriate Order shall issue.

M. Hannah Lauck United States District Judge M. Hannah Lauck United States District Judge

ls/

Date: August 30, 2019 Richmond, Virginia

