Crawford v. Foreman et al Doc. 43

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Richmond Division

TREVOR CRAWFORD,

Plaintiff,

v. Civil Action No. 3:19CV149

T.S. FOREMAN, et al.,

Defendants.

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Plaintiff, a Virginia inmate proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis*, filed this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action. In order to state a viable claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege that a person acting under color of state law deprived him or her of a constitutional right or of a right conferred by a law of the United States. *See Dowe v. Total Action Against Poverty in Roanoke Valley*, 145 F.3d 653, 658 (4th Cir. 1998) (citing 42 U.S.C. § 1983). Plaintiff's current allegations fail to provide each defendant with fair notice of the facts and legal basis upon which his or her liability rests. *See Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007) (quoting *Conley v. Gibson*, 355 U.S. 41, 47 (1957)). Accordingly, by Memorandum Order entered on January 21, 2021, the Court directed Plaintiff to submit a particularized complaint within fourteen (14) days of the date of entry thereof. The Court warned Plaintiff that the failure to submit the particularized complaint would result in the dismissal of the action.

Thereafter, by Memorandum Order entered on May 13, 2021, the Court granted Plaintiff an additional thirty (30) days from the date of entry thereof to file a particularized complaint.

More than thirty (30) days have elapsed since the entry of the May 13, 2021 Memorandum Order

and Plaintiff has not filed a particularized complaint or otherwise responded to the May 13, 2021 Memorandum Order.

Accordingly, the action will be DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

An appropriate order will accompany this Memorandum Opinion.

M. Hannah Lauck
United States District Judge

Date: June 28, 2021 Richmond, Virginia