Herrington v. Warden Doc. 11

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Richmond Division

NATHAN WANE HERRINGTON,)
Petitioner,))
v.	Civil Action No. 3:19CV192–HEH
WARDEN,)
Respondent.)

MEMORANDUM OPINION (Dismissing § 2241 Petition as Moot)

Petitioner, a former federal inmate proceeding pro se, filed a petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2241. Petitioner asserts that he failed to receive proper credit against his sentence for time spent in custody. Since the filing of his § 2241 Petition, Petitioner has been released from custody.¹ Accordingly, by Memorandum Order entered on July 31, 2019, the Court directed Petitioner, within eleven (11) days of the date of entry thereof, to show cause why the present action should not be dismissed as moot. *See Spencer v. Kemna*, 523 U.S. 1, 10 (1998); *Wallace v. Jarvis*, 423 F. App'x 328, 328 (4th Cir. 2011) (citations omitted). The Court explained that the failure to file a proper response would result in the dismissal of the action. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

¹ The Bureau of Prisons inmate locator indicates that Petitioner was released from custody on July 18, 2019. *See* https://www.bop.gov/inmateloc/ and type in Petitioner's name.

More than eleven (11) days have elapsed and Petitioner has not filed a response to the July 31, 2019 Memorandum Order. Accordingly, the action will be dismissed without prejudice as moot.

An appropriate Order shall accompany this Memorandum Opinion.

HENRY E. HUDSON

SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Date: Aug 26, 2019 Richmond, Virginia