

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
Richmond Division

SUNDARI K. PRASAD,

Petitioner,

v.

Civil Action No. 3:20CV389

JUDGE SHAW, et al.,

Respondents.

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Petitioner, a Virginia prisoner proceeding *pro se*, submitted a petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. By Memorandum Order entered on July 1, 2020, the Court directed Petitioner, within eleven (11) days of the date of entry thereof, to complete and return the standardized form for filing a § 2254 petition as required by Eastern District of Virginia Local Civil Rule 83.4(A). The Court warned Petitioner that the failure to comply with the above directive would result in the dismissal of the action without prejudice. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

More than fifteen (15) days have elapsed since the entry of the July 1, 2020 Memorandum Order and Petitioner has not completed and returned the standardized § 2254 form. Accordingly, the action will be DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. A certificate of appealability will be DENIED.

An appropriate Order shall accompany this Memorandum Opinion.

\_\_\_\_\_  
M. Hannah Lauck  
United States District Judge

Date: August 31, 2020  
Richmond, Virginia