Doc. 16 Lewis v. Unknown

> IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA **Richmond Division**

WARREN LEWIS,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 3:23CV585 (RCY)

UNKNOWN,

Defendant.

**MEMORANDUM OPINION** 

Plaintiff, a federal prisoner proceeding pro se, submitted this action. In order to state a

viable claim under *Bivens*, a plaintiff must allege that a person acting under color of federal

authority deprived him or her of a constitutional right or of a right conferred by a law of the United

States. See Williams v. Burgess, No. 3:09cv115, 2010 WL 1957105, at \*2 (E.D. Va. May 13,

2010) (citing Goldstein v. Moatz, 364 F.3d 205, 210 n.8 (4th Cir. 2004)). Plaintiff's current

allegations failed to provide each defendant with fair notice of the facts and legal basis upon which

his or her liability rests. Accordingly, by Memorandum Order entered on March 11, 2024, the

Court directed Plaintiff to submit a particularized complaint within thirty (30) days of the date of

entry thereof. The Court warned Plaintiff that the failure to submit a particularized complaint

would result in the dismissal of the action.

More than thirty (30) days have elapsed since the entry of the March 11, 2024

Memorandum Order and Plaintiff failed to submit a particularized complaint. Accordingly, the

action will be DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

An appropriate Final Order will accompany this Memorandum Opinion.

United States District Judge

Date: April 18, 2024 Richmond, Virginia

<sup>1</sup> Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Fed. Bureau of Narcotics, 403 U.S. 388 (1971).

Dockets.Justia.com