Holt v. Brown

Doc. 7

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Richmond Division

RUSH JACK SAMUEL HOLT, III,

Plaintiff.

v.

Civil Action No. 3:23CV653

JAMES LAMONT BROWN.

Defendant.

MEMORANDUM OPINION

By Memorandum Order entered on October 26, 2023, the Court conditionally docketed

Plaintiff's action. At that time, the Court directed Plaintiff to affirm his intention to pay the full

filing fee by signing and returning a consent to collection of fees form. The Court warned Plaintiff

that a failure to comply with the above directive within thirty (30) days of the date of entry thereof

would result in summary dismissal of the action.

Plaintiff has not complied with the Court's order to return a signed consent to collection of

fees form. Instead, he simply returned the form unsigned. As a result, he does not qualify for in

forma pauperis status. Furthermore, he has not paid the statutory filing fee for the instant action.

See 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a). Plaintiff's conduct demonstrates a willful failure to prosecute. See Fed.

R. Civ. P. 41(b). Accordingly, this action will be DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

An appropriate Order shall accompany this Memorandum Opinion.

Date: 12/12/23 Richmond, Virginia

Senior United States District Judge