



“Although Plaintiff is correct that unpublished opinions do not constitute binding precedence, unpublished opinions can be persuasive when they address questions currently before the Court.” Martin v. Clemson Univ., 654 F. Supp. 2d 410, 417 (D.S.C. 2009); see also Collins v. Pond Creek Mining Co., 468 F.3d 213, 219-20 (4th Cir. 2006) (holding unpublished decisions “to be entitled to the weight they generate by the persuasiveness of their reasoning”). Even more importantly, Battle cites King v. Myers, 973 F.2d 354 (4th Cir.1992), a published Fourth Circuit case, for the proposition of law for which the court cited it—a fact made abundantly clearly in the court’s memorandum opinion of October 3, 2013.

The remainder of Plaintiff’s argument is utterly incomprehensible and warrants no further discussion.

Plaintiff’s motion is therefore void of even the slightest merit. It shall be denied by appropriate order entered this day.

The Clerk is directed to send a certified copy of this Order to the pro se plaintiff.

Entered: October 10, 2013

*/s/ Michael F. Urbanski*

Michael F. Urbanski  
United States District Judge