

FILED IN THE  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

**Jul 23, 2020**

SEAN F. McAVOY, CLERK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

JADE WILCOX on behalf of herself  
and all others similarly situation,

Plaintiff,

v.

JAMES CRAIG SWAPP,  
individually; and SWAPP LAW,  
PLLC, doing business as Craig  
Swapp and Associates,

Defendants.

NO: 2:17-CV-275-RMP

ORDER GRANTING FINAL  
APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION  
SETTLEMENT

Plaintiff, on behalf of the certified Class, and Defendants entered into a class action Settlement Agreement dated October 25, 2019, to settle the claims of Plaintiff and the Class brought by the Amended Complaint. ECF No. 139-2.

On November 27, 2019, the Court entered an Order preliminarily approving the Settlement, ordering the Class Notice to be sent to potential members of the Class, appointing Angeion Group as Settlement Administrator, scheduling a fairness hearing for April 29, 2020, and setting deadlines for members of the Class

1 to object to the proposed Settlement or to request exclusion from it (the  
2 “Preliminary Approval Order). ECF No. 141.

3 On April 29, 2020, the Court held a hearing to determine whether to give  
4 final approval to the proposed Settlement, heard arguments, and considered all  
5 submissions and arguments in connection with the proposed Settlement, including  
6 Plaintiff’s Unopposed Motion for Final Approval of Settlement, ECF No. 149,  
7 Class Counsel’s Unopposed Motion for an Award of Attorneys’ Fees and Expenses,  
8 ECF No. 144, and Plaintiff’s Motion for a Class Representative Service Award,  
9 ECF No. 143. As explained in the Court’s Order dated April 22, 2020, the Court  
10 held ruling on these motions in abeyance, as requested by the parties. ECF No. 154.

11 Having considered the submissions and arguments, the Court is of the  
12 opinion that the Settlement Agreement is fair, adequate, and reasonable, and that the  
13 Settlement should be approved and the Motions should be granted.

14 Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

15 1. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Plaintiff, Defendants, and  
16 members of the Class and subject-matter jurisdiction over this action, including but  
17 not limited to jurisdiction to finally approve the proposed Settlement, to grant final  
18 certification of the Class, to settle and release claims pursuant to the Settlement  
19 Agreement, and to dismiss claims alleged in the Complaint and the Amended  
20 Complaint on the merits and with prejudice.

1           2.     The Class that the Court previously certified by Order dated January  
2 25, 2019, ECF No. 109, is hereby finally certified for settlement purposes under  
3 Rule 23(a) and Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The Class  
4 consists of:

5           All drivers identified in Police Traffic Collision Reports whose Personal  
6 Information, as defined by the DPPA, was derived from a Department of  
7 Licensing record (e.g. license, registration or database) and the Report  
8 was obtained or used by the Swapp Law Firm (d/b/a Craig Swapp &  
9 Associates) or Mr. Swapp from the Washington State Patrol between  
10 September 1, 2013 and June 23, 2017.

11           Excluded from the Class are (a) current and former clients of Defendants;  
12 (b) individuals identified on the same PTCRs as Defendants' clients; (c)  
13 individuals who provided written consent to Defendants for the disclosure  
14 of their Personal Information (as defined by the DPPA) prior to  
15 Defendants obtaining their personal information; (d) employees (and  
16 attorneys) of Defendants and members of their immediate families; and  
17 (e) the presiding judge and anyone working in the presiding judge's  
18 chambers and the members of their families.

19           3.     Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and after  
20 considering the requisites set forth therein, the Court confirms its prior appointment  
21 of Block & Leviton LLP and Thomas Jarrard as Co-Lead Class Counsel and Plaintiff  
22 Jade Wilcox as Class Representative. The Court finds that Class Counsel has fully  
23 and adequately represented the interests of the Class for purposes of entering into and  
24 implementing the Settlement Agreement and has satisfied the requirements of Rule  
25 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

26           4.     The Court finds that the distribution of the Class Notice was in  
27 accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement and the Court's Preliminary

1 Approval Order and:

- 2 a. constituted the best practicable notice to members of the Class under  
3 the circumstances of this action;
- 4 b. was reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise  
5 members of Class of (i) the pendency of this class action; (ii) their  
6 right to object to any aspect of the proposed Settlement, including the  
7 fairness, reasonableness or adequacy of the Class's representation by  
8 Plaintiff's counsel and the award of attorneys' fees and expenses; (iii)  
9 their right to appear at the Final Approval Hearing; and (iv) the  
10 binding effect of the orders and Final Judgment as to all claims  
11 against Defendants on all members of the Settlement Classes;
- 12 c. was reasonable and constituted due, adequate and sufficient notice to  
13 persons entitled to be provided with notice; and
- 14 d. fully satisfied the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil  
15 procedure (including Rules 23(c)(2) and (e), the United States  
16 Constitution (including the Due Process Clause), and any other  
17 applicable law.

18 5. Based on the Declaration of Defendant James Craig Swapp, the Court  
19 finds that Defendants initial untimely CAFA notice is excused and as this order was  
20 not entered until 90 days after Defendants provided such notice, appropriate notice  
21 was provided to the appropriate federal officials and state officials to whom notice

1 must be given pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1715. *See* ECF  
2 No. 154.

3         6. The Court finds that the Settlement resulted from arm’s length  
4 negotiations and the terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement have been  
5 entered into in good faith. The Court has considered factors including: (i) the  
6 strength of Plaintiff’s case compared to the value of settlement; (ii) the risk, expense,  
7 complexity, and likely duration of further litigation; (iii) the risk of maintaining class  
8 action status through trial; (iv) the amount recovered, the value of nonmonetary relief  
9 to the Class, and Defendants’ ability to pay a final judgment; (v) the experience and  
10 views of Class Counsel; and (vi) the reaction of Class members. As all of these  
11 factors favor final approval, Plaintiff’s Motion for Final Approval, **ECF No. 149**, is  
12 **GRANTED** and the Settlement is hereby fully approved as fair, reasonable,  
13 adequate, and in the best interests of Plaintiff and members of the Class, and in full  
14 compliance with all applicable requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,  
15 the United States Constitution, including the Due Process Clause, and any other  
16 applicable law.

17         7. Plaintiff, Class Counsel, Defendants, the Settlement Administrator, and  
18 members of the Settlement Class are hereby directed to implement and consummate  
19 the Settlement Agreement according to its terms and provisions.

20         8. The Protective Order dated March 21, 2018, and previously entered by  
21 the Court is hereby modified such that the term “Final Disposition” shall mean “until

1 Defendants have paid all monetary amounts required under the Settlement.”

2 9. The Releases contained in Section 12 of the Settlement Agreement are  
3 expressly incorporated herein and are effective as of the date of this Order and Final  
4 Judgment.

5 10. Plaintiffs and the Class are deemed conclusively to have released and  
6 waived any and all Settled Claims, as defined by Section 12.1 of the Settlement  
7 Agreement (except as set forth in Section 12.3), against the Defendants; and  
8 Defendants are deemed conclusively to have released and waived any and all Settled  
9 Claims, as defined by Section 12.2 of the Settlement Agreement (except as set forth  
10 in Section 12.3), against Plaintiff, each member of the Class, and Class Counsel.

11 11. Plaintiffs and the Class are barred and enjoined from prosecuting any  
12 and all Settled Claims, as set forth in Section 12 of the Settlement Agreement, against  
13 the Defendants; and Defendants are barred and enjoined from prosecuting any and all  
14 Settled Claims, as set forth in Section 12 of the Settlement Agreement, against  
15 Plaintiff, each member of the Class and Class Counsel.

16 12. Upon review of the affidavits and supporting materials provided by  
17 Class Counsel, the Court finds that the hourly rates of and hours expended by Class  
18 Counsel in this case are reasonable. The Court has also considered the following  
19 factors, among others, to determine the amount of fees to award to Class Counsel in  
20 this case: (1) the result obtained for the Class; (2) counsel’s efforts, experience, skill,  
21 and performance; (3) the complexity of the issues; (4) the risks of non-payment

1 assumed by counsel; (5) the reaction of the class; and (6) non-monetary benefits.

2 After consideration of the relevant factors, Class Counsel's Motion for an Award of  
3 Attorneys' Fees and Expenses, **ECF No. 144**, is **GRANTED**. The Court approves an  
4 award to Class Counsel of attorneys' fees in the amount of \$1,270,357.75, and  
5 expenses of \$56,393.87, to be paid as provided by the Settlement Agreement and  
6 Defendants will pay the approved fees and expenses awarded by this Order consistent  
7 with the Settlement Agreement.

8 13. The attorneys' fees and expenses awarded by this Order and paid  
9 pursuant to the Settlement Agreement will be allocated among Class Counsel and  
10 Plaintiff's Counsel as determined in the reasonable discretion of Co-Lead Class  
11 Counsel. To the extent that there is any dispute among Class Counsel and/or  
12 Plaintiff's Counsel, this Court will retain jurisdiction with respect to any issue or  
13 dispute regarding the allocation of such attorneys' fees and expenses.

14 14. After considering the time and effort expended by Jade Wilcox in  
15 assisting with the initiation and prosecution of this litigation, the Court finds that a  
16 service award of \$10,000.00 to Plaintiff is reasonable and proper to compensate Ms.  
17 Wilcox for her work done behalf of the Class, and Plaintiff's Motion for Class  
18 Representative Service Award, **ECF No. 143**, is **GRANTED**.

19 15. The Court has jurisdiction to enter this Order and the accompanying  
20 Final Judgment. The Court shall retain exclusive jurisdiction, without affecting the  
21 finality of the Order entered, with regard to: (i) implementation of this Settlement

