

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
6 AT SEATTLE

7 “AURORA”,

8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 PATRICK ALLEN SHEELY,

11 Defendant.

Case No. 16-cv-1358-RSM

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR
PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT

12
13 **I. INTRODUCTION**

14 THIS MATTER comes before the Court on Plaintiff’s Motion for Partial Summary
15 Judgment. Dkt. #18. Plaintiff seeks an order establishing Defendant’s liability and judgment for
16 the amount of her compensatory damages and attorney’s fees and costs. *Id.* The Court has
17 reviewed Plaintiff’s motion, along with the Declarations and Affidavit of Carol L. Hepburn,
18 Plaintiff, and Dr. Randall Green in support thereof and Exhibits thereto. Dkts. #18, #20, #21 and
19 #22. Defendant has failed to respond to the motion. For the reasons discussed below, the Court
20 now GRANTS Plaintiff’s motion.

21
22 **II. BACKGROUND**

23 The following background is drawn from the documents presented by Plaintiff, as
24 Defendant has failed to respond to this motion or otherwise develop a record in this matter.
25

1 “Aurora”¹ was just four to five years old when she was first sexually abused by her
2 biological father in order to produce child sex abuse images for consumers and collectors of
3 child pornography such as the defendant in this case, Patrick Allen Sheely. Dkts. #22, Ex. 2 at 2
4 and #20, Ex. 1). Aurora was forced to dress up for “photo shoots” which were sexualized and
5 depicted sexual acts including, but not limited to, digital penetration by her abuser and oral
6 sexual contact with her sister. Dkt. #22, Ex. 2 at 6-8. Aurora was also forced to pose in various
7 ways and perform sexually with her perpetrator and his adult male associates. *Id.* Aurora alleges
8 that her exploitation is ongoing through distribution of her child sex abuse images on the
9 internet. *Id.* at 2-3.

10
11 As a result of the continued distribution and possession of her child sex abuse images by
12 Defendant Sheely and others, Aurora suffers from extreme and permanent emotional distress
13 with physical manifestations, interference with her normal development and educational
14 progress, lifelong loss of income earning capacity, loss of past and future wages, past and future
15 expenses for medical and psychological treatment, loss of enjoyment of life, and other losses to
16 be described and proven at trial for this matter. *See* Dkt. #22, Ex. 2.

17
18 On or about September 13, 2013, detectives for the Washington State Patrol Missing and
19 Exploited Children Task Force executed a search warrant on Defendant Sheely’s cell phone.
20 Dkt. #1 at ¶ 4.1. That cell phone contained over one hundred sexually explicit videos of minor
21 children. On or about September 14, 2013, detectives for the Washington State Patrol Missing
22 and Exploited Children Task Force executed a search warrant on Defendant Sheely’s residence
23 located in Snohomish County, Washington, and recovered from his residence multiple pieces of
24

25

¹ The Court has entered an Order allowing Plaintiff to proceed under the pseudonym “Aurora”
in this matter. Dkt. #9.

1 electronic media containing documents, images, and videos. Dkt. #1 at ¶ 4.1. Hundreds of
2 images and videos were located depicting sexually explicit images of minor children. Among
3 images, there was at least one image and/or video of Plaintiff from the so-called “LJ series.” *Id.*
4 At least one such video depicted Plaintiff, as a child under 12 years of age prior to puberty, being
5 sexually victimized, and had been circulated in interstate commerce via the internet. *Id.* That
6 video had been previously identified by the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children
7 (NCMEC). Dkt. #20, Ex. 3. Identification of the plaintiff’s image(s) as among those possessed
8 by defendant was confirmed by Child Victim Identification Program (“CVIP”) analysts at the
9 NCMEC in their CVIP report. *Id.*

10
11 Defendant Sheely pleaded guilty to three violations of RCW 9.68A.070, Possession of
12 Depictions of a Minor Engaged in Sexually Explicit conduct in the First Degree, a class B
13 felony. Dkt. #20, Ex. 1. Defendant was sentenced to 46 months of prison followed by 36
14 months of community custody. *Id.*, Ex. 2. As part of his plea agreement, Defendant Sheely
15 admitted to downloading and viewing child pornography over a period of 15 years. Dkt. #20 at ¶
16 5. Defendant stated that he had downloaded and viewed approximately 10,000 videos and
17 images of children from infancy to age 17 years old. *Id.* He also admitted to taking part in Peer-
18 to Peer (P2P) trading of the images. *Id.*

19
20 Plaintiff filed the instant matter on August 26, 2016, seeking civil damages against
21 Defendant under 18 U.S.C § 2255 (“Masha’s Law”), and 18 U.S.C. § 2252(A)(f). Dkt. #1.
22 Since commencing the action, Aurora has moved for prejudgment attachment of Defendant’s
23 assets, which this Court granted. Dkt. #12. Aurora has also alleged pendant state law claims of
24 Intrusion Into Private Affairs and Intentional and/or Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress,
25

1 dkt. #1 at ¶¶ 8.1-9.6; however, she is not moving for summary judgment on those claims. Dkt.
2 #18 at 5.

3 **III. DISCUSSION**

4 **A. Summary Judgment Standard**

5 Summary judgment is appropriate where “the movant shows that there is no genuine
6 dispute as to any material fact and the movant is entitled to judgment as a matter of law.” Fed.
7 R. Civ. P. 56(a); *Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.*, 477 U.S. 242, 247 (1986). In ruling on
8 summary judgment, a court does not weigh evidence to determine the truth of the matter, but
9 “only determine[s] whether there is a genuine issue for trial.” *Crane v. Conoco, Inc.*, 41 F.3d
10 547, 549 (9th Cir. 1994) (citing *Federal Deposit Ins. Corp. v. O’Melveny & Meyers*, 969 F.2d
11 744, 747 (9th Cir. 1992)). Material facts are those which might affect the outcome of the suit
12 under governing law. *Anderson*, 477 U.S. at 248.

14 The Court must draw all reasonable inferences in favor of the non-moving party. *See*
15 *O’Melveny & Meyers*, 969 F.2d at 747, *rev’d on other grounds*, 512 U.S. 79 (1994). However,
16 the nonmoving party must make a “sufficient showing on an essential element of her case with
17 respect to which she has the burden of proof” to survive summary judgment. *Celotex Corp. v.*
18 *Catrett*, 477 U.S. 317, 323 (1986). Further, “[t]he mere existence of a scintilla of evidence in
19 support of the plaintiff’s position will be insufficient; there must be evidence on which the jury
20 could reasonably find for the plaintiff.” *Anderson*, 477 U.S. at 251.

22 **B. Masha’s Law**

23 18 U.S.C. § 2255, familiarly known as “Masha’s law,” provides a civil remedy for
24 personal injuries caused by sexual exploitation of children, including violations of Section
25 2252. The relevant part of the statute provides:

1 Any person who, while a minor, was a victim of a violation of section 1589,
2 1590, 1591, 2241(c), 2242, 2243, 2251, 2251A, 2252, 2252A, 2260, 2421,
3 2422, or 2423 of this title and who suffers personal injury as a result of such
4 violation, regardless of whether the injury occurred while such person was a
5 minor, may sue in any appropriate United States District Court and shall
6 recover the actual damages such person sustains and the cost of the suit,
7 including a reasonable attorney's fee. Any person as described in the
8 preceding sentence shall be deemed to have sustained damages of no less
9 than \$150,000 in value.

6 18 U.S.C. § 2255(a).²

7 Plaintiff asserts that Defendant's conviction of possessing child pornography in
8 violation of RCW 9.68A.070 is the equivalent of a violation of 18 U.S.C. § § 2252 and 2252A.
9 18 USC §2252A proscribes the knowing possession of any material which contains an image of
10 child pornography that has been mailed, or shipped, or transported using any means or facility
11 of interstate commerce, including by computer. 18 USC § 2252A(a)(5)(B). 18 USC §2252
12 proscribes the knowing possession of any matter which contains any visual depiction that has
13 been shipped or produced using any means or facility of interstate commerce, including by
14 computer, if the production involved the use of a minor engaging in sexually explicit conduct
15 and the visual depiction is of such conduct. 18 USC § 2252(a)(4)(B). RCW 9.68A.070 in
16 pertinent part: "A person commits the crime of possession of depictions of a minor engaged in
17
18
19

20 ² This civil right of action was first passed in 1986. Child Abuse Victims' Rights Act of 1986,
21 Pub. L. No. 99-500, § 703(a), 100 Stat. 1783, 1783-74 to -75 (1986) to -75 (1986). It was
22 amended in 1998 to cover a wider array of predicate crimes. Protection of Children from Sexual
23 Predators Act of 1998, Pub. L. No. 105-314, § 605, 112 Stat. 2974, 2984 (1998). It was
24 amended again in 2006 (at which time it became known as Masha's Law) to increase minimum
25 statutory damages from \$50,000 to \$150,000 and make clear that an adult could bring suit based
on a predicate crime that took place while she was a minor. Masha's Law, Pub. L. No. 109-248,
§ 707, 120 Stat. 587, 650 (2006). It was amended a final time in 2013 to again widen the array
of predicate crimes and increase the statute of limitations from six years to ten years. Violence
Against Women Reauthorization Act of 2013, Pub. L. No. 113-4, § 1212(a), 127 Stat. 54, 143
(2013).

1 sexually explicit conduct . . . when he or she knowingly possesses a visual or printed matter
2 depicting a minor engaged in sexually explicit conduct . . .”.

3 Examining the predicate crimes set forth in 18 U.S.C. § § 2252 and 2252A, the Court
4 agrees that Defendant Sheely’s conviction of criminal conduct as defined by RCW 9.68A.070 is
5 the equivalent of the conviction of conduct prohibited by 18 U.S.C. §§2252 and 2252A. The
6 images found on Defendant’s electronics “were mailed, or shipped, or transported” in interstate
7 commerce. *See* Dkt. #20, Ex. 3. The conduct outlined in the three statutes is nearly identical.
8 Further, the Court finds that Plaintiff has demonstrated that she has suffered personal injury,
9 including ongoing psychological and emotional injuries. *See* Dkts. #18 at 8-10, #20, Ex. 4 and
10 #22, Ex. 2. Accordingly, the Court finds that Defendant Sheely is liable under 18 U.S.C. § 2255.
11

12 18 USC §2252A(f) provides that in these types of cases, this Court may award injunctive
13 relief, compensatory and punitive damages, and attorneys’ fees and costs. As noted above, any
14 person described under 18 U.S.C. §2255(a) “shall be deemed to have sustained damages of no
15 less than \$150,000 in value.” In this case, the Court agrees that an award of compensatory
16 damages, along with attorney’s fees and costs, should be awarded in an amount to be determined
17 at a hearing.
18

19 IV. CONCLUSION

20 Having reviewed Plaintiff’s motion, the Declarations and Exhibits in support thereof, and
21 the remainder of the record, the Court hereby finds and ORDERS:

- 22 1. Plaintiff’s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (Dkt. #18) is GRANTED.
- 23 2. Defendant Sheely is liable to Plaintiff under 18 U.S.C. § 2255 for her personal
24 injury(ies).
25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

3. The amount of compensatory damages, along with attorney's fees and costs, will be determined at a hearing before the Court. Plaintiff's counsel shall make a good faith effort to meet and confer with *pro se* Defendant regarding a mutually-agreeable date and time for such hearing. Plaintiff's counsel shall then contact the Court's In-Court Deputies Laurie Cuaresma and Lowell Williams at 206-370-8521 with several proposed dates and times, which the Court will consider. At the hearing, Plaintiff's counsel shall be prepared to submit evidence of Plaintiff's alleged injury(ies), as well as evidence (either documentary or testimonial) supporting any request for attorney's fees and costs.

4. The Clerk shall send a copy of this Order to *pro se* Defendant via U.S. Mail to the address reflected on the Court's docket.

DATED this 15th day of February, 2017.



RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE