Delashaw v.	Seattle Times Company et al		Doc. 259
	Case 2:18-cv-00537-JLR Document	299 Filed 08/03/20 Page 1 of 11	
1		The Honorable James L. Robart	
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
8	AT SEATTLE		
9	JOHNNY B. DELASHAW, JR.,	Case No. 2:18-cv-00537-JLR	
10	Plaintiff,	STIPULATED MOTION AND ORDER RE: LIMITED	
11	v.	INTERVENTION BY DR. ROD OSKOUIAN	
12	SEATTLE TIMES COMPANY, and CHARLES		
13	COBBS,	NOTE ON MOTION CALENDAR: July 27, 2020	
14	Defendants.		
15	Dr. Rod Oskouian ("Dr. Oskouian") respectfully requests that this Court allow him to		
16	intervene in this action under Fed R. Civ. P. 24 as in interested party solely for the limited		
17	purpose of addressing the potential use of materials Dr. Oskouian designated as confidential		
18	under the stipulated protective order in this case. Dkt. 45-1; 46. Dr. Cobbs has filed two		
19	motions to seal that include materials Dr. Oskouian designated as confidential: (1) Motion to		
20	Seal in conjunction with Dr. Cobbs's Second Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. 205); and (2)		
21	Motion to Seal in Conjunction with Dr. Cobbs's Motions in Limine (Dkt. 214). Dr. Oskouian		
22	seeks to intervene at this time only to address those motions. Counsel for all parties have		
23	conferred and stipulated to Dr. Oskouian's request for limited intervention. In so stipulating,		
24	counsel for the parties here stipulate only to Dr. C	Oskouian's request for limited intervention to be	

out of the open court file. Dr. Delashaw, Dr. Cobbs, and the Seattle Times expressly state, and

heard on Dr. Cobbs' motion to seal, not to any arguments made about keeping any documents

STIPULATED MOTION AND ORDER RE: LIMITED INTERVENTION BY DR. ROD OSKOUIAN – 1 (Case No. 2:18-cv-00537-JLR)

25

26



Dr. Oskouian acknowledges, that nothing in this stipulated motion is intended to impact any arguments the parties might have with respect to Dr. Cobbs's motion to seal.

ARGUMENT

The Fed. R. Civ. P. 24(a) Standard for Intervention.

1.

Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 24(a), the Court "must permit anyone to intervene who claims an interest relating to the property or transaction that is the subject of the action, and is so situated that disposing of the action may as a practical matter impair or impede the movant's ability to protect its interest, unless existing parties adequately represent that interest." Fed. R. Civ. P. 24(a)(2). A motion must be granted if: (1) the application is timely; (2) the applicant has a "significantly protectable" interest relating to the property or transaction that is the subject of the action; (3) the applicant is so situated that the disposition of the action may, as a practical matter, impair or impede the applicant's ability to protect that interest; and (4) the applicant's interest is not adequately represented by the existing parties in the lawsuit. *Southwest Center for Biological Diversity v. Berg*, 268 F.3d 810, 817 (9th Cir. 2001). The Court's evaluation is "guided primarily by practical considerations," not technical distinctions. *Id.* Dr. Oskouian asserts that his motion meets each of the four requirements.

Dr. Oskouian is entitled to limited intervention to protect his confidentiality interest. a. *Timeliness*

The Seattle Times subpoenaed Dr. Oskouian to provide deposition testimony. Dr. Oskouian was deposed pursuant to that subpoena on June 12, 2020. At the conclusion of that deposition, Dr. Oskouian's counsel designated the transcript as confidential, as provided under the stipulated protective order. On July 9, 2020, after discussion with defendants' counsel, Dr. Oskouian confirmed by page and line a more specific list of portions of the transcript to be designated as confidential.

The protective order and this Court's rules require a party filing confidential material to confer with the designating party to determine whether the designating party will withdraw the

STIPULATED MOTION AND ORDER RE: LIMITED INTERVENTION BY DR. ROD OSKOUIAN – 2 (Case No. 2:18-cv-00537-JLR)



Case 2:18-cv-00537-JLR Document 259 Filed 08/03/20 Page 3 of 11

confidential designations before filing. Dr. Cobbs's counsel and Dr. Oskouian's counsel had several conversations regarding confidentiality designations, but were unable to resolve their differences.

Dr. Cobbs then filed on July 13, 2020, his motion to seal in conjunction with his Second Motion for Summary Judgment. (Dkt. 205). Dr. Oskouian now timely seeks to intervene to protect his confidentiality interest just two weeks after the motion to seal was filed.

b.

Significant Protectable Interest.

An applicant for intervention has a "significant protectable interest" in an action if (1) it asserts an interest that is protected under some law, and (2) there is a "relationship" between its legally protected interest and the plaintiff's claims. *Donnelly v. Glickman*, 159 F.3d 405, 409 (9th Cir. 1998) (citing *Northwest forest Resource Council v. Glickman*, 82 F.3d 825, 837 (9th Cir. 1996)). "An applicant generally satisfies the 'relationship' requirement only if the resolution of the plaintiff's claims actually will affect the applicant." *Donnelly*, 159 F.3d at 410 (citing *Montana v. United States Envtl. Protection Agency*, 137 F.3d 1135, 1141-42 (9th Cir. 1998)).

Dr. Oskouian contends that he "asserts an interest that is protected under some law" because he argues that the materials he designated as confidential implicate his constitutionally-protected rights and his due process rights under the stipulated protective order entered under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26.

Likewise, Dr. Oskouian contends there is a "relationship" between Dr. Oskouian's legally protectable confidentiality interest and the claims and defenses in the suit because Dr. Cobbs has relied on material Dr. Oskouian designated as confidential in support of his Second Motion for Summary Judgment. This Court's LCR 5(g) actually provides that it is incumbent upon the party designating materials as confidential under a stipulated protective order to satisfy this Court's local rules to confirm that the material should be sealed from the public record. *See* LCR 5(g)(3)(B) ("where parties have entered a . . . stipulated protective order . . . the party who

STIPULATED MOTION AND ORDER RE: LIMITED INTERVENTION BY DR. ROD OSKOUIAN – 3 (Case No. 2:18-cv-00537-JLR)



1

Case 2:18-cv-00537-JLR Document 259 Filed 08/03/20 Page 4 of 11

designated the document confidential must satisfy subpart 3(B) in its response to the motion to seal or in a stipulated motion."). Dr. Oskouian therefore respectfully submits that LCR 5(g) firmly establishes that there is a relationship between Dr. Oskouian's confidentiality interest and the claims and defenses at issue here, specifically including Dr. Cobbs's Second Motion for Summary Judgment.

c.

Effect of Disposition on Dr. Oskouian's Ability to Protect His Interest.

Although Dr. Oskouian is not a party here and has no interest in the ultimate outcome of this lawsuit on its merits, Dr. Cobbs has filed a motion for summary judgment relying, in part, on materials Dr. Oskouian has designated as confidential. Because those confidential materials are now implicated in potentially public filings, unless Dr. Oskouian intervenes, Dr. Oskouian asserts that the disposition of this case will directly impact his privacy and other interests. Where the Court agrees that a non-party has a "significant protectable interest," it should have "little difficulty concluding that the disposition of the case may, as a practical matter, affect it." *California ex rel. Lockyer v. U.S.*, 450 F.3d 436, 442 (2006) (the court found the movant had a "significant protectable interest" and therefore "we have little difficulty concluding that the disposition of this case may, as a practical matter, affect it."). Given Dr. Oskouian's asserted significant protectable interest vis-à-vis his privacy and reputational interests, this Court should have no difficulty concluding that the disposition of this case, and particularly the motions to seal, without Dr. Oskouian's intervention will impact Dr. Oskouian.

d.

Dr. Oskouian's interests are not adequately protected by the existing parties.

"In determining adequacy of representation, we consider whether the interest of a present party is such that it will undoubtedly make all the intervenor's arguments; whether the present party is capable and willing to make such arguments; and whether the intervenor would offer any necessary elements to the proceedings that other parties would neglect." *People of State of California v. Tahoe Reg'l Planning Agency*, 792 F.2d 775, 778 (9th Cir. 1986) (internal

STIPULATED MOTION AND ORDER RE: LIMITED INTERVENTION BY DR. ROD OSKOUIAN – 4 (Case No. 2:18-cv-00537-JLR)



Case 2:18-cv-00537-JLR Document 259 Filed 08/03/20 Page 5 of 11

citations omitted). "The applicant is required only to make a minimal showing that representation of its interests may be inadequate." *Id*.

None of the actual parties to the lawsuit are situated such that they can adequately protect Dr. Oskouian's individual privacy interests. Dr. Oskouian is a non-party, and has no connection to the litigants here. Dr. Oskouian exercised his individual right under the stipulated protective order to designate portions of his deposition testimony as confidential. Only Dr. Oskouian, therefore, is capable of adequately advancing arguments to sufficiently protect his asserted privacy interest.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Dr. Oskouian respectfully submits that his motion meets the Fed R. Civ. P. 24(a) standard for intervention, particularly for the limited purposes sought here. Dr. Oskouian therefore respectfully requests that this Court grant its stipulated motion to intervene for the limited purposes of addressing his interest in maintaining the confidentiality of certain documents.

Stipulated and agreed to this 27th day of July, 2020.

POLSINELLI PC

By: <u>/s/Jim J. Fredman</u> Jim J. Fredman, WSBA# 30110 1000 Second Ave., Suite 3500 Seattle, WA 98104 Tel: (206) 393-5400 Email: jim.fredman@polsinelli.com

By: <u>/s/Brian McEvoy</u> Brian McEvoy (*Pro Hac Vice* Pending) 1201 W. Peachtree Street NW Suite 1100 Atlanta, GA 30309 Tel: (404) 253-6021 Email: bmcevoy@polsinelli.com

Attorneys for Nonparty Dr. Oskouian

STIPULATED MOTION AND ORDER RE: LIMITED INTERVENTION BY DR. ROD OSKOUIAN – 5 (Case No. 2:18-cv-00537-JLR)



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

HARRIGAN LEYH FARMER & THOMSEN LLP

/s/ Tyler L. Farmer Arthur W. Harrigan, Jr., WSBA No. 1751 Tyler L. Farmer, WSBA No. 39912 Kristin E. Ballinger, WSBA No. 28253 Caitlin B. Pratt, WSBA No. 48422 999 Third Avenue, Ste. 4400 Seattle, WA 98104 Phone: (206) 625-8600 Attorneys for Plaintiff Johnny B. Delashaw, Jr. LAW OFFICES OF IRWIN H. SCHWARTZ /s/ Irwin H. Schwartz Irwin H. Schwartz, WSBA No. 4769 999 Third Avenue, Suite 4400 Seattle, WA 98104 Telephone: (206) 676-7000 Fax: (206) 676-7001 irwin@ihschwartz.com Attorneys for Plaintiff Johnny B. Delashaw, Jr. SUMMIT LAW GROUP PLLC /s/Jessica L. Goldman Jessica L. Goldman, WSBA No. 21856 Christopher T. Wion, WSBA No. 33207 Tanya Nesbitt, WSBA No. pending 315 Fifth Avenue South, Suite 1000 Seattle, WA 98104 Telephone: (206) 676-7000 Fax: (206) 676-7001 jessicag@summitlaw.com chrisw@summitlaw.com tanyan@summitlaw.com Attorneys for Defendant Seattle Times Company

STIPULATED MOTION AND ORDER RE: LIMITED INTERVENTION BY DR. ROD OSKOUIAN – 6 (Case No. 2:18-cv-00537-JLR)



McNAUL EBEL NAWROT & HELGREN PLLC

/s/ Malaika M. Eaton Malaika M. Eaton, WSBA No. 32837 Jehiel I. Baer, WSBA No. 46951 600 University Street, Suite 2700 Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: (206) 467-1816 <u>meaton@mcnaul.com</u> jbaer@mcnaul.com

Attorneys for Defendant Charles Cobb

SOMERVILLE, LLC

/s/John Q. Somerville John Q. Somerville (admitted pro hac vice) 300 North Richard Arrington Blvd., Suite 710 Birmingham, AL 35203 Telephone: (205) 871-2183 jgs@somerville.com

Attorneys for Defendant Charles Cobb

ORDER

Pursuant to the parties' stipulated motion, IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 3rd day of August, 2020.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

PRIT

HONORABLE JAMES L. ROBART UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

STIPULATED MOTION AND ORDER RE: LIMITED INTERVENTION BY DR. ROD OSKOUIAN – 7 (Case No. 2:18-cv-00537-JLR)



	Case 2:18-cv-00537-JLR Document 25	9 Filed 08/03/20	Page 8 of 11
1	Presented by:		
2	POLSINELLI PC		
3	By: /s/Jim J. Fredman		
4	Jim J. Fredman, WSBA# 30110 1000 Second Ave., Suite 3500		
5	Seattle, WA 98104 Tel: (206) 393-5400		
6	Email: jim.fredman@polsinelli.com		
7	By: <u>/s/Brian McEvoy</u>		
8	Brian McEvoy (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i> Pending) 1201 W. Peachtree Street NW, Suite 1100		
9	Atlanta, GA 30309 Tel: (404) 253-6021		
10	Email: bmcevoy@polsinelli.com		
11	Attorneys for Nonparty Dr. Oskouian		
12	HARRIGAN LEYH FARMER & THOMSEN LLP		
13			
14	<u>/s/ Tyler L. Farmer</u> Arthur W. Harrigan, Jr., WSBA No. 1751		
15	Tyler L. Farmer, WSBA No. 39912 Kristin E. Ballinger, WSBA No. 28253		
16	Caitlin B. Pratt, WSBA No. 48422 999 Third Avenue, Ste. 4400		
17	Seattle, WA 98104		
18 10	Phone: (206) 625-8600		
19 20	Attorneys for Plaintiff Johnny B. Delashaw, Jr.		
20 21			
21 22	LAW OFFICES OF IRWIN H. SCHWARTZ		
22	<u>/s/ Irwin H. Schwartz</u> Irwin H. Schwartz, WSBA No. 4769		
23 24	999 Third Avenue, Suite 4400		
25	Seattle, WA 98104 Telephone: (206) 676-7000		
23 26	irwin@ihschwartz.com		
_0	Attorneys for Plaintiff Johnny B. Delashaw, Jr.		
	STIPULATED MOTION AND ORDER RE: LIMITED INTERVENTION BY DR. ROD OSKOUIAN - (Case No. 2:18-cv-00537-JLR)	- 8	POLSINELLI 1000 SECOND AVENUE, SUITE 3500 SEATTLE, WA 98104 • (206) 393-5400

	Case 2:18-cv-00537-JLR Document 259 Filed 08/03/20 Page 9 of 11
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	SUMMIT LAW GROUP PLLC / <u>s/Jessica L. Goldman</u> Jessica L. Goldman, WSBA No. 21856 Christopher T. Wion, WSBA No. 33207 Tanya Nesbitt, WSBA No. pending 315 Fifth Avenue South, Suite 1000 Seattle, WA 98104 Telephone: (206) 676-7000 jessicag@summitlaw.com chrisw@summitlaw.com tanyan@summitlaw.com tanyan@summitlaw.com
10	
11	McNAUL EBEL NAWROT & HELGREN PLLC
12	/s/ Malaika M. Eaton
13	Malaika M. Eaton, WSBA No. 32837 Jehiel I. Baer, WSBA No. 46951
14	600 University Street, Suite 2700 Seattle, WA 98101
15	Telephone: (206) 467-1816
16	<u>meaton@mcnaul.com</u> jbaer@mcnaul.com
17 18	Attorneys for Defendant Charles Cobb
10	SOMERVILLE, LLC
20	<u>/s/John Q. Somerville</u> John Q. Somerville (admitted pro hac vice)
21	300 North Richard Arrington Blvd., Suite 710
22	Birmingham, AL 35203 Telephone: (205) 871-2183
23	jqs@somerville.com
24	Attorneys for Defendant Charles Cobb
25	
26	
	STIPULATED MOTION AND ORDER RE: LIMITED INTERVENTION BY DR. ROD OSKOUIAN – 9 (Case No. 2:18-cv-00537-JLR)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on July 27, 2020, I caused the foregoing document to be served on the counsel listed below via the CM/ECF system:

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Arthur W. Harrigan, Jr. Tyler L. Farmer Kristin E. Ballinger John C. Burzynski HARRIGAN LEYH FARMER & THOMSEN LLP 999 Third Ave., Ste. 4400 Seattle, WA 98104

arthurh@harriganleyh.com tylerf@harriganleyh.com kristinb@harriganleyh.com caitlinp@harriganleyh.com kelliem@harriganleyh.com florinef@harriganleyh.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Irwin H. Schwartz LAW OFFICES OF IRWIN H. SCHWARTZ 999 Third Ave., Ste. 4400 Seattle, WA 98104

Attorneys for Defendant Charles Cobbs

Malaika M. Eaton Jehiel I. Baer MCNAUL EBEL NAWROT & HELGREN PLLC 600 University St., Ste. 2700 Seattle, WA 98101

meaton@mcnaul.com jbaer@mcnaul.com sredfield@mcnaul.com rlindsey@mcnaul.com

irwin@ihschwartz.com

Attorneys for Defendant Charles Cobbs

John Q. Somerville SOMERVILLE, LLC 300 Richard Arrington Jr. Blvd. N., Ste. 710 Birmingham, AL 35203

Attorneys for Defendant Seattle Times Company

Jessica L. Goldman Christopher T. Wion Tanya Nesbit SUMMIT LAW GROUP, PLLC

STIPULATED MOTION AND ORDER RE: LIMITED INTERVENTION BY DR. ROD OSKOUIAN - 10 (Case No. 2:18-cv-00537-JLR)

barbara@somervillellc.com

JOS@Somervillellc.com

jessicag@summitlaw.com chrisw@summitlaw.com tanuan@summitlaw.com



26

Case 2:18-cv-00537-JLR Document 299 Filed 08/03/20 Page 11 of 11

315 Fifth Avenue South, Suite 1000
Seattle, WA 98104-2682

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED this 27th day of July, 2020, at Seattle.

/s/Jeni Bonanno

Jeni Bonanno, Legal Assistant

