

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

BBC GROUP NV LLC, a Nevada Limited  
Liability Company,

Plaintiff,  
Counterclaim Defendant,

v.

ISLAND LIFE RESTAURANT GROUP  
LLC, *et al.*,

Defendants,  
Counterclaim Plaintiffs.

Case No. C18-1011-RSM

ORDER GRANTING IN PART  
COUNTERCLAIM PLAINTIFF ISLAND  
LIFE’S MOTION FOR CONTEMPT  
SANCTIONS

**I. INTRODUCTION**

This matter comes before the Court on Defendant-Counterclaim Plaintiffs Island Life Restaurant Group (“Island Life”)’s Motion for Contempt Sanctions for failure to comply with this Court’s injunction order. Dkt. #119. Plaintiff BBC Group NV LLC (BBC) opposes Island Life’s motion on the basis that it has engaged in good faith efforts to comply with the Court’s order. Dkt. #122. The Court finds oral argument unnecessary to resolve the issues presented here. For the reasons set forth below, the Court GRANTS IN PART Island Life’s motion for contempt sanctions.

## II. BACKGROUND

### A. Procedural Background

1  
2  
3 A full background of this case is not necessary given this Court's previous orders in this  
4 matter. On September 20, 2019, the Court partially granted Island Life's motion for summary  
5 judgment and dismissed all of BBC's claims against Island Life. Dkt. #65. The Court found that,  
6 as a matter of law, BBC had not acquired the associated goodwill for the "BOCBOC Chicken  
7 Delicious" mark since the restaurant services and menu selection between the Nevada BOK BOK  
8 restaurants and the New York BOCBOC Chicken Delicious restaurants were not "substantially  
9 similar." *Id.* at 6-7. Accordingly, the Court held that BBC's assignment for the "BOCBOC  
10 Chicken Delicious" was invalid and that BBC had no licensed rights to the "BOCBOC Chicken  
11 Delicious" mark. The Court also granted summary judgment on Island Life's counterclaims  
12 against BBC for federal trademark infringement under the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1114, 1125,  
13 finding that BBC's use of the unlicensed "BOK BOK" mark infringed on Island Life's "Bok a  
14 Bok" mark. *Id.* at 14. Finally, the Court awarded Island Life attorney's fees under 15 U.S.C. §  
15 1117(a) for BBC's willful infringement. *Id.* at 22.

16 On December 6, 2019, after briefing and oral argument, the Court permanently enjoined  
17 BBC from using the unregistered "BOK BOK" mark, or any variation or derivative of that  
18 spelling, in Washington state or as part of a domain name, email account, or social media handle.  
19 Dkt. #84 at 12. The Court denied Island Life's request to enjoin BBC from future attempts to  
20 license and use the "BOCBOC Chicken Delicious" mark and denied its request for a nationwide  
21 injunction against BBC. *Id.* at 10-12. Trial on the remaining issues is currently set for November  
22 30, 2020. Dkt. #132.

23 //

24 //

**B. BBC's Actions following Entry of Permanent Injunction**

1  
2 On March 13, 2020, counsel for Island Life contacted BBC's counsel regarding BBC's  
3 continued use of the "bokbokchicken.com" domain name. Dkt. #120-1 at 2. BBC's counsel  
4 responded on March 17, 2020 that he "was told that the migration was set to occur some time  
5 ago" and advised he would "look into that and see whats [sic] going with the migration to the new  
6 website." *Id.* at 1. Island Life followed up on March 25, 2020 advising that the domain name  
7 was still in use and that it intended to move for a contempt order if BBC continued to delay. Dkt.  
8 #120-2 at 4. BBC responded the following day advising that the IT department was migrating  
9 the website from "bokbokchicken.com" to "bocbocchicken.com" with an expected completion  
10 time "by the end of Friday, and no later than early next week allowing room for error." *Id.* at 3.

11 On March 26, 2020, Island Life responded that BBC's proposal was insufficient on the  
12 basis that "bocbochicken" would "still violate the Court's injunction, as it is merely a variation  
13 by changing the 'k' to 'c' in the name." *Id.* at 2. BBC replied the following day that it would  
14 "circle back with the client" to discuss the possibility of changing the domain name to either  
15 "bocbocchickendelicious.com" or "bocbocchickenD.com." *Id.* at 1-2. Island Life responded that  
16 "[m]y clients are not ok with BBC using anything that makes the sound 'Bok' which would  
17 include Boc, Boq, Bawk, Bahk, or anything else like that. Our client demands BBC cease and  
18 desist use in BBC's 'domain name, email account, social media handle, or other form of web  
19 presence.' (Dkt. 84 at 9)." *Id.* at 1. Island Life also advised that "[i]f we check again after next  
20 week and it is still there, we will bring a motion for contempt." *Id.* On March 30, 2020, BBC  
21 replied that the Court's injunction order did not extend as far as Island Life contends, meaning  
22 "all sounds a chicken makes." Dkt. #123-1 at 2.

23 Island Life acknowledges that, as of the date of its May 2, 2020 motion for contempt,  
24 "BBC has, at least temporarily, disabled its bokbokchicken.com internet domain name . . . ." Dkt.

1 #119 at 4. Nevertheless, it contends that BBC continued to use the “bok bok” name in its social  
2 media accounts. In support of this claim, Island Life provides screenshots dated May 2, 2020  
3 showing BBC’s use of the unregistered “Bok Bok” mark on Instagram (Dkt. #120-3), Bigsta (Dkt.  
4 #120-4), Facebook (Dkt. #120-5), LinkedIn (Dkt. #120-6), GrubHub (Dkt. #120-7), and Twitter  
5 (Dkt. #120-8). Island Life also provides a screenshot of a tweet from BBC’s account  
6 (@eatbokbok) indicating that customers could use “the Bok Bok app for mobile ordering. Text  
7 BOKBOK to 33733 to download your app. Or download it at bokbokchicken.com.” Dkt. #120-  
8 9 at 1.

9 BBC does not dispute the accuracy of the screenshots provided by Island Life but contends  
10 that it has made “good faith efforts” to comply with the injunction. Dkt. #122 at 1. These efforts  
11 include changing its domain name and “geo-blocking” all online traffic from Washington,  
12 Oregon, Idaho, and Canada. Dkt. #125 at ¶¶ 2-5. Regarding third party sites such as Bigsta and  
13 GrubHub, BBC maintains that geo-blocking is “a bit more difficult” and efforts to transition these  
14 accounts have been hindered as a result of recent shutdowns due to the COVID-19 health crisis.  
15 Dkt. #122 at 6. However, regarding its social media accounts, BBC does not dispute that these  
16 accounts continued to use the unlicensed “BOK BOK” mark at the time Island Life filed its  
17 motion. Instead, it contends that Island Life failed to “include a single specific social media  
18 handle that was problematic” in its communications with BBC’s counsel. *Id.* at 7. BBC maintains  
19 that “if these complaints had been brought to BBC’s attention the parties could have worked to  
20 resolve the issue just as the website/domain issue was resolved.” *Id.* at 8. It further argues that  
21 efforts to change the social media accounts were delayed in light of the COVID-19 health crisis.  
22 *Id.* at 10.

23 On May 21, 2020, Island Life filed its Reply acknowledging that “BBC eventually  
24 changed its domain name and social media handles to ‘EatBocBoc.’” Dkt. #126 at 2.

1 Nevertheless, it contends that BBC remains in contempt of the Court’s order for changing its  
 2 handles to “EatBocBoc.” *Id.*

3 BBC filed a status report on July 28, 2020 confirming that its social media handles on  
 4 Instagram, Facebook, and Pinterest have been changed to “eatbocboc” and its Twitter handle  
 5 changed to “@realbocboc”. Dkt. #134 at 3.<sup>1</sup> BBC also claims that it has lost access to its LinkedIn  
 6 account and is “trying to regain access.” *Id.* Finally, regarding the third-party sites Bigsta and  
 7 GrubHub, BBC states that “Bigsta” appears to be “a defunct aggregator of social media content”  
 8 over which it has no control. *Id.* Likewise, while BBC has “very little control over how it appears  
 9 on Grubhub,” it contends that customers outside of Los Angeles and Las Vegas will be unable to  
 10 access or order from the GrubHub order page because the app uses location-based services. *Id.*

### 11 III. DISCUSSION

#### 12 A. Legal Standard

13 “Civil contempt . . . consists of a party’s disobedience to a specific and definite court order  
 14 by failure to take all reasonable steps within the party’s power to comply.” *In re Dual-Deck*  
 15 *Video Cassette Recorder Antitrust Litigation Go-Video Inc.*, 10 F.3d 693, 695 (9th Cir. 1993).  
 16 The contempt need not be willful and a person should not be held in contempt if his action appears  
 17 to be based on a good faith and reasonable interpretation of the court’s order. *Reno Air Racing*  
 18 *Ass’n v. McCord*, 452 F.3d 1126, 1131 (9th Cir. 2006). “Substantial compliance with the court  
 19 order is a defense to civil contempt, and is not vitiated by a few technical violations where every  
 20 reasonable effort has been made to comply.” *Dual-Deck Video*, 10 F.3d at 695. The party

21  
 22  
 23 <sup>1</sup> BBC advises that a “screenshot will accompany a subsequent declaration” to confirm these updates to  
 24 each of its social media accounts. Dkt. #134 at 3. As of the date of this order, no declaration has been  
 filed. However, because Island Life confirms that BBC has transitioned its domain name and social  
 media handles to “EatBocBoc,” Dkt. #126 at 2, the Court considers this matter undisputed.

1 alleging civil contempt must demonstrate that the opposing party violated the court's order by  
2 clear and convincing evidence. *Id.*

3 Thus, the party alleging civil contempt must demonstrate by clear and convincing  
4 evidence that (1) the contemnor violated a court order, (2) the noncompliance was more than  
5 technical or de minimis, and (3) the contemnor's conduct was not the product of a good faith or  
6 reasonable interpretation of the violated order. *See United States v. Bright*, 596 F.3d 683, 694  
7 (9th Cir. 2010) (outlining factors); *Inst. of Cetacean Research v. Sea Shepherd Conservation*  
8 *Society*, 774 F.3d 935, 945 (9th Cir. 2014) (same). "Sanctions for civil contempt may be imposed  
9 to coerce obedience to a court order, or to compensate the party pursuing the contempt action for  
10 injuries resulting from the contemptuous behavior, or both." *Gen. Signal Corp.*, 787 F.2d at 1380.

#### 11 **B. BBC's New Domain Names**

12 The Court will first address Island Life's contention that BBC's new domain names and  
13 social media handles, "eatbocboc" and "realbocboc," violate the injunction order. Dkt. #126 at 2  
14 (Arguing that even though BBC "eventually changed its domain name and social media handles  
15 to 'EatBocBoc,' it remains in contempt of the injunction."). Island Life frames the issue as  
16 "whether, based on an objective standard, 'EatBocBoc' complies with the injunction order." *Id.*  
17 For the reasons set forth below, the Court finds that "EatBOCBOC" complies.

18 The Court's December 6, 2019 injunction order expressly addresses Island Life's  
19 argument that BBC is prohibited from using any sound a chicken makes:

20 [T]he Court notes that **Island Life seeks to enjoin BBC from any name that**  
21 **includes the sound a chicken makes, including "BOC BOC" and "BOQ BOQ."**  
22 Dkt. #77 at 17. However, as this Court acknowledged at summary judgment, *see*  
23 Dkt. #65 at 17, a mark that relies exclusively on onomatopoeia without any other  
24 distinguishing factors is simply not a strong mark—an unfortunate reality that is  
apparent from this litigation, the third-party mark "BOCBOC Chicken Delicious,"  
and what the Court imagines are other restaurants, cafeterias, and food trucks that  
use different iterations of the chicken "Bawk" sound in their name. **Island Life**  
**has not sufficiently justified its demand to foreclose BBC's use of all possible**

1 **iterations of the sound a chicken makes, nor has it explained why a domain**  
2 **name spelled with a “C” or “Q” combined with other differentiating terms**  
3 **would fail to resolve the problem of online confusion.** For that reason, the Court  
4 finds the injunction appropriately limited to BBC’s use of the unregistered “BOK  
5 BOK” mark and any variation or derivative **of that spelling.**

6 Dkt. #84 at 10 (emphases added). While BBC identified this language to Island Life in its  
7 Response, *see* Dkt. #122 at 5, Island Life dismissed it as “portions of this Court’s Order that do  
8 not apply to domain names and social media handles . . . .” Dkt. #126 at 4.

9 Island Life’s argument disregards the plain language of the injunction order, which  
10 explicitly addresses the use of “c” or “q” spellings combined with other differentiating terms in  
11 domain names. The order provides that “Island Life has not sufficiently justified its demand . . .  
12 nor explained *why a domain name spelled with a ‘c’ or a ‘q’ combined with other differentiating*  
13 *terms* would fail to resolve the problem of online confusion.” Dkt. #84 at 10 (emphasis added).  
14 The Court finds this language clear and incontrovertible: BBC was not precluded from adopting  
15 a domain name “spelled with a ‘c’ or a ‘q’ combined with other differentiating terms” in order to  
16 resolve the problem of online confusion. That is precisely what BBC has accomplished through  
17 its transitions to an “eatbocboc.com” domain name and “eatbocboc” and “realbocboc” social  
18 media handles.

19 Island Life has requested only “progressive sanctions” to ensure that BBC complies with  
20 the Court’s permanent injunction order “within two weeks of the entry” of the order on its  
21 contempt motion. Dkt. #126 at 2. Because BBC’s new domain name and social media handles  
22 comply with the injunction, there are no sanctions to impose at this time.<sup>2</sup> Accordingly, the Court  
23 DENIES Plaintiff’s request for progressive sanctions.

---

24 <sup>2</sup> BBC’s status update advises that it has “lost access” to its LinkedIn account. Dkt. #134 at ¶ 7. Because  
the webpage is currently inactive and devoid of content, *id.*, the Court finds prospective sanctions  
unnecessary to address this issue.

### C. Social Media Accounts

1  
2 The Court will now address the issue of BBC's social media accounts. Having reviewed  
3 the undisputed evidence presented by Island Life, it is apparent that BBC continued to use the  
4 unregistered "Bok Bok" marks on its social media accounts—namely, Instagram, Facebook,  
5 Twitter, and LinkedIn. *See* Dkts. #120-3; #120-5; #120-6; #120-8. BBC's continued use of the  
6 "Bok Bok" mark on these social media accounts violates the express terms of the injunction.  
7 Dkt. #84 at 12 (Enjoining BBC "from using the unregistered 'BOK BOK' mark, or any variation  
8 or derivative of that spelling, in Washington state or as part of a domain name, email account, or  
9 social media handle."). While BBC reasonably claims that it lacks control over the name  
10 displayed on third party websites, including Bigsta and GrubHub, there is no dispute that it  
11 maintains control over its own social media accounts.

12 BBC does not dispute its violation. Instead, it asks the Court to overlook its  
13 non-compliance based on (1) Island Life's failure to identify "specific example[s]" of violating  
14 social media accounts in its March 2020 emails; and (2) the onset of COVID-19 that derailed  
15 BBC's business operations. Dkt. #122 at 9-10. The Court finds both arguments unavailing. The  
16 Court's December 6, 2020 order expressly informed BBC that it needed to revise its social media  
17 handles to remedy the trademark infringement. *See* Dkt. #84 at 12. Island Life cited this same  
18 language regarding social media accounts in its March 26, 2020 communication. *See* Dkt. #120-  
19 2 at 1. BBC's argument that Island Life was required to identify, by name, each of BBC's  
20 violating accounts improperly and unfairly shifts the burden to Island Life to seek out BBC's  
21 various social media presences to determine whether it complied with the Court's order. On the  
22 contrary, the onus was on BBC to take "all reasonable steps" within its power to comply, which  
23 included updating its own social media accounts. *See Dual-Deck Video*, 10 F.3d at 695.



1 Finally, while the Court is sympathetic to the challenges burdening the restaurant industry  
2 as a result of the COVID-19 health crisis, the pandemic is insufficient, on its own, to justify  
3 overlooking BBC's protracted non-compliance with the injunction. The Court issued its  
4 injunction order December 6, 2019—several weeks before the COVID-19 threat gained  
5 prominence across the country, and several months before state governors began issuing  
6 stay-at-home orders. BBC has likewise provided no reasonable explanation for why closure of  
7 its physical restaurants would affect its ability to update its social media pages in compliance  
8 with the Court's order.

9 For these reasons, the Court finds that Island Life has demonstrated by clear and  
10 convincing evidence that BBC violated the Court's order through failure to cease use of the  
11 infringing "Bok Bok" mark on its social media accounts, and that such violations were more than  
12 technical or de minimis. See *Dual-Deck Video*, 10 F.3d at 695. Furthermore, BBC has failed to  
13 show that its protracted delays were based on a good faith and reasonable interpretation of the  
14 Court's order. See *Reno Air Racing Ass'n*, 452 F.3d at 1131. Accordingly, the Court finds that  
15 BBC was in contempt of the Court's injunction at the time Island Life filed its motion.

#### 16 **D. Requested Relief**

17 Based on parties' briefings and the evidence provided therein, it appears that BBC  
18 updated its social media accounts between Island Life's initial filing of the motion and the filing  
19 of its reply. See Dkt. #126 at 2 ("BBC eventually changed its domain name and social media  
20 handles to 'EatBocBoc.>"). Having determined that these new domain names comply with the  
21 injunction, the Court denies Island Life's request for prospective sanctions.

22 Island Life also requests that BBC "pay \$2500 to Island Life within 10 days of this order,  
23 for attorney fees incurred in bringing this motion," Dkt. #119 at 10, but offers no documentary  
24 evidence, including hourly rates and time billed, to support its request. The Court agrees with

1 Island Life that trial courts have “discretion to analyze each contempt case individually and  
2 decide whether an award of fees and expenses is appropriate as a remedial measure.” *Perry v.*  
3 *O’Donnell*, 759 F.2d 702, 705 (9th Cir. 1985). The Court further agrees that a limited award of  
4 attorney’s fees is appropriate here, given that BBC failed to comply with the express terms of the  
5 injunction until after Island Life filed its motion for contempt. Because BBC remedied its  
6 violations before Island Life filed its May 21, 2020 reply, Dkt. #126, the Court finds attorney’s  
7 fees appropriately limited to preparation and filing of Island Life’s initial May 5, 2020 motion,  
8 Dkt. #119.

9 Island Life will have the opportunity to support its instant request for attorney’s fees as  
10 part of its Motion for Attorney’s Fees filed upon conclusion of the case. *See* Dkt. #65 at 23  
11 (granting Island Life attorney’s fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a)). Accordingly, no further  
12 action is warranted at this time.

#### 13 IV. CONCLUSION

14 Having reviewed Island Life’s Motion, the relevant briefing, and the remainder of the  
15 record, the Court hereby finds and ORDERS:

16 (1) Island Life’s Motion for Contempt Sanctions, Dkt. #119, is GRANTED IN PART. Island

17 Life is awarded attorney’s fees for the time spent preparing its motion for contempt  
18 sanctions, Dkt. #119.

19 (2) At the conclusion of this case, Island Life shall include this fee request in its Motion for

20 Attorney’s Fees. The motion shall be supported by documentary evidence reflecting the  
21 amount of fees sought and the appropriate hourly rate, and shall include argument as to  
22 the authority upon which such fees may be granted and why such fees are reasonable.

23 BBC shall file any Response in accordance with the Local Rules, and Island Life may file  
24 a Reply in accordance with the same.

1 (3) Island Life's request for prospective sanctions is DENIED.  
2

3 DATED this 31<sup>st</sup> day of July, 2020.  
4

5  
6 

7 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ  
8 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24