DEFAULT JUDGMENT AND ORDER FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION - 1 CASE NO. 2:19-cv-325-BJR NITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE Consumer Protection Branch P.O. Box 386, Washington, DC 20044 (202) 616-0295

expensive measures to respond to the threat and protect their systems going forward. The schemers persuade victims to pay considerable sums of money for purported technical services to address the false threats. Victims are told to send their payments to domestic entities that include Defendants in the present case. Defendants in this case have opened multiple bank accounts in Washington State to deposit these fraudulent proceeds, much of which they then transmit to their overseas affiliates through international transactions.

- 9. As alleged in the Complaint, Defendants have engaged in such financial transactions, and have transported, transmitted, or transferred funds from a place in the United States to or through a place outside the United States, with the intent to promote the carrying on of wire fraud contrary to 18 U.S.C. § 1343. Defendants have thus engaged in money laundering contrary to 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(1)(A)(i) and in international money laundering contrary to 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(2)(A).
- 10. As alleged in the Complaint, Defendants have engaged in such financial transactions, and have transported, transmitted, or transferred funds from a place in the United States to or through a place outside the United States, knowing that the funds involved represent the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity and knowing that such financial transactions and such transportation, transmission or transfer are designed in whole or in part to conceal or disguise the nature, the location, the source, the ownership, or the control of the proceeds of wire fraud contrary to 18 U.S.C. § 1343, and have thus engaged in money laundering contrary to 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(1)(B)(i) and in international money laundering contrary to 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(2)(B)(i).
- 11. The Court finds that absent a permanent injunction, Defendants are likely to resume the activities underlying the Complaint. Defendants' history of fraudulent and money laundering

1	5. impersonating any other technology company, or accepting or transmitting any
2	consumer payments on behalf of or for the benefit of any person who impersonates any
3	other technology company;
4	6. engaging in telemarketing activity that claims that a consumer's computer has
5	been hacked or has a virus or other security-related infection, or accepting or
6	transmitting any consumer payments on behalf of or for the benefit of any person who
7	engages in such telemarketing activity;
8	7. accepting or transmitting any consumer payments related to purported technical-
9	support services; and
10	8. failing to preserve records of any nature related to Defendants' business,
11	financial, and accounting operations.
12	
13	ORDERED at Seattle this 15th day of October, 2019.
14	
15	Barbara Pothetin
16	Barbara Jacobs Rothstein
17	U.S. District Court Judge
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	Presented by:
2	<u>s/ Daniel K. Crane-Hirsch</u> DANIEL K. CRANE-HIRSCH
3	Consumer Protection Branch
4	United States Department of Justice P.O. Box 386
	Washington, DC 20044
5	Tel.: 202-616-8242 Fax: 202-514-8742
6	Email: daniel.crane-hirsch@usdoj.gov
7	s/ Kayla C. Stahman
8	KAYLA C. STAHMAN, CA #228931 Assistant United States Attorney
O	United States Attorney's Office
9	700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220
	Seattle, Washington 98101-1271
10	Phone: 206-553-7970 Fax: 206-553-4067
11	Email: kayla.stahman@usdoj.gov
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	