

The Honorable Ricardo S. Martinez

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

DEBORAH FRAME-WILSON, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

No. 2:20-cv-00424-RSM

**STIPULATED MOTION AND
ORDER REGARDING DISCOVERY**

**NOTE ON MOTION CALENDAR:
May 16, 2023**

1 The Parties, by and through their counsel, stipulate and agree as follows:

2 1. Plaintiffs in the *Frame-Wilson v. Amazon*, No. 2:20-cv-00424-RAJ (W.D. Wash.),
3 and *De Coster v. Amazon*, No. 2:21-cv-00693-RSP (W.D. Wash.) cases (collectively “W.D.
4 Wash. Plaintiffs”) allege that Amazon violates federal antitrust laws through its agreements with
5 third-party sellers that restrain prices of goods online. Later filed actions in other jurisdictions
6 assert similar claims under state antitrust laws: *People of California v. Amazon*, Case No. CGC-
7 22-601826 (Cal. Super. Court, San Francisco) (“*California Action*); and *Mbadiwe v. Amazon*,
8 No. 1:22-cv-09542 (S.D.N.Y.) (collectively “Plaintiffs from other Jurisdictions”). The Plaintiffs
9 in *Frame-Wilson* served 92 Requests for Production on Amazon in September 2022. For over six
10 months the W.D. Wash. Plaintiffs and Amazon (collectively “Parties”) have met and conferred
11 and exchanged extensive correspondence discussing Amazon’s responses and objections to these
12 Requests. As a part of their discussions, Amazon sought to minimize the burden of responding to
13 duplicative requests for production from the W.D. Wash. Plaintiffs and the Plaintiffs from Other
14 Jurisdictions and to avoid the potential of duplicative requests for depositions of Amazon
15 witnesses. After multiple meetings and letter exchanges, the Parties reached an agreement as set
16 forth below.

17 2. The Parties agreed to general cross-use as between *Frame-Wilson* and *De*
18 *Coster*—discovery served or produced in one case is available for use in the other, with all
19 parties reserving all objections as to admissibility or relevance of the discovery material in the
20 litigation.

21 3. The Parties agree to coordinate depositions of Amazon witnesses with the
22 plaintiffs in *Mbadiwe v. Amazon*, and *People of California v. Amazon* with respect to claims
23 arising from Amazon’s agreements with its third-party sellers so long as (1) it is feasible to do
24 so, (2) there is acceptance by the plaintiff groups, and (3) it does not impede the W.D. Wash.
25 Plaintiffs’ ability to take depositions in an orderly manner within their own case schedules.
26 Further, any agreement to coordinate with the *Mbadiwe* Plaintiffs is without prejudice to the
27 W.D. Wash. Plaintiffs’ right to seek a protective order to prevent the *Mbadiwe* Plaintiffs from
28

1 attending depositions that do not concern their claims, with Amazon reserving the right to
2 oppose any motion for a protective order.

3 4. Discussions concerning coordination between the *California* Action and *Brown v.*
4 *Amazon*, No. 22-cv-00965-JHC (W.D. Wash.), are separate discussions, outside of this
5 stipulation, and will continue during the pendency of Amazon’s motion to dismiss the *Brown*
6 *Action*.

7 5. The Parties note that the claims filed by the Attorney General of the District of
8 Columbia overlap with the claims subject to this Stipulation. *District of Columbia v.*
9 *Amazon.com, Inc.*, Case No. 2021 CA 001775 B. The court granted Amazon’s motion to dismiss
10 the District’s claims, and the District filed an appeal. In the event that the District’s claims are
11 reinstated while fact discovery is ongoing in this case, the parties agree to discuss the
12 coordination of discovery in that case with the cases subject to this coordination stipulation.

13 6. Amazon’s letter dated March 2, 2023 (and as further clarified by subsequent
14 correspondence, including Amazon’s response to the W.D. Wash. Plaintiffs’ May 10, 2023
15 email) explains that Amazon is reproducing in the *California* Action documents it produced in
16 response to certain requests the California Attorney General made to Amazon in its investigation
17 (“California Litigation Production”). The March 2 letter identifies the requests from the
18 California Attorney General, which generated the California Litigation Production. The Parties
19 agree that Amazon will also produce the California Litigation Production to the W.D. Wash.
20 Plaintiffs. In addition, the W.D. Wash. Plaintiffs will receive any additional productions Amazon
21 makes to the California Attorney General in the *California* Action. To date, this production
22 includes, as stated in Amazon’s and the California Attorney General’s Joint CMC Statement,
23 more than 700,000 documents from the California Attorney General’s investigation and an
24 additional 15,000 documents not previously produced to the California Attorney General from
25 the FTC’s investigation of Amazon. The Parties agree that Amazon’s production of these
26 documents will be made without delay, with all materials previously produced to the California
27 Attorney General produced to the W.D. Wash. Plaintiffs by May 19, 2023.

1 7. Amazon’s March 2 letter (and subsequent clarifying correspondence, including its
2 response to the W.D. Wash. Plaintiffs’ May 10, 2023 email) clarifies for each of the Requests
3 from the California Attorney General that generated the California Litigation Production in that
4 case, whether Amazon conducted go-get searches, whether it applied search terms, and if the
5 latter, which search terms and which custodians’ records were searched for each Request.
6 Amazon’s letters dated March 24, and 31 and the W.D. Wash. Plaintiffs’ letters dated March 14
7 and April 4, and emails and attachments dated May 3 and 4, provide additional details
8 concerning Amazon’s production, including whether (and how) Amazon had reached agreement
9 to narrow any of the requests at issue.

10 8. As set forth in that correspondence, Amazon agrees:

- 11 A. to provide existing correspondence sent to the California Attorney General
12 that describe, index, or accompany the productions to the California
13 Attorney General, which will be made available to the W.D. Wash.
14 Plaintiffs. To the extent such correspondence addresses materials to the
15 California Attorney General that Amazon is not reproducing in the
16 *California* Action, Amazon may redact any discussion of those materials;
17 B. to conduct a reasonable “refresh” of certain productions or categories of
18 documents, in light of the date cut-off of the existing productions and to
19 negotiate the scope of a “refresh” in good faith;
20 C. to supplement the search terms used concerning documents relating to the
21 former Parity Provision; and
22 D. to conduct a reasonable search for and produce Seller University materials
23 concerning the Parity Provision, the Marketplace Fair Pricing Policy,
24 Featured Offer, Account Health, and MARS for the U.S. from 2016 to the
25 date of collection.

26 9. Amazon confirms that the California Litigation Production includes profit and
27 loss data from 2012 through October 31, 2022, and Amazon agrees to a refresh of that
28 production, e.g., closer to class certification. Amazon also confirms that the California Litigation

1 Production includes the results of its go-get search and custodial searches based on identified
2 search terms in response to Request No. 12 in the March 2, 2023 letter, concerning Amazon's
3 Price Parity Provision. Amazon also confirms that the California Litigation Production includes
4 documents relating to Amazon's market share in online retail, and online retail marketplaces and
5 any analysis of the entry of online retail marketplaces or barriers to entry. Amazon agrees to
6 provide good-faith assistance to identify where these materials can be found in the California
7 Litigation Production. Amazon will meet and confer promptly about supplemental productions if
8 the W.D. Wash. Plaintiffs identify additional, non-duplicative materials on these topics that are
9 pertinent to class certification issues.

10 10. In addition to the California Litigation Production, Amazon agrees to produce
11 additional categories of documents described in Amazon's March 31, 2023 letter and May 1,
12 2023 email to Plaintiffs (and as further clarified by subsequent correspondence).

13 11. The Parties agree to timely produce documents they reasonably anticipate relying
14 upon for class certification or summary judgment and to timely supplement such productions if
15 additional documents are identified.

16 12. The Parties agree that the W.D. Wash. Plaintiffs may pursue additional non-
17 duplicative document discovery needed for purposes of class certification and need not wait to
18 do so only after reviewing all the materials included in the anticipated production. Amazon
19 confirms that it will engage with such requests promptly and in good faith, including by directing
20 the W.D. Wash. Plaintiffs to specific documents it has produced, as appropriate.

21 13. This stipulation does not impact the Parties' ability to issue written discovery,
22 such as interrogatories or requests for admission. The W.D. Wash. Plaintiffs have expressly
23 raised conducting discovery concerning whether third-party sellers were uniformly bound by the
24 Price Parity Provision and Fair Pricing Policy, including through interrogatories, requests for
25 admission, or the coordinated depositions referenced above. Should such avenues of discovery
26 be insufficient for the W.D. Wash. Plaintiffs' purposes, they have reserved the right to seek
27 additional documents on this topic.

1 14. The Parties likewise agree that their negotiations over Amazon’s production of
2 data and Plaintiffs’ requests for posts on Seller Forum, beginning in January 2012, and Seller
3 University modules that relate to third-party seller pricing are occurring concurrently and are not
4 superseded by this stipulation.

5 15. The stipulation does not foreclose Plaintiffs from seeking discovery after the
6 Court rules on Plaintiffs’ class certification motion, however Amazon reserves the right to object
7 to production of discovery it perceives as duplicative of discovery that was made available to the
8 W.D. Wash. Plaintiffs through this coordination stipulation or discovery that they sought in
9 connection with class certification.

10 THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the Parties,
11 through their undersigned counsel of record, and the Parties ask the Court to order, that:

12 16. The Parties agree to the production of documents, coordination of depositions and
13 other terms as set forth in this stipulation.

14 17. The agreement is binding as of the date of submission.

15 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

16 DATED: May 16, 2023

HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

17 By /s/ Steve W. Berman

Steve W. Berman (WSBA No. 12536)

18 By /s/ Barbara A. Mahoney

Barbara A. Mahoney (WSBA No. 31845)

19 1301 Second Avenue, Suite 2000

20 Seattle, WA 98101

21 Telephone: (206) 623-7292

22 Facsimile: (206) 623-0594

E-mail: steve@hbsslaw.com

barbaram@hbsslaw.com

23 Anne F. Johnson (*pro hac vice*)

24 68 3rd Street, Suite 249

25 Brooklyn, NY 11231

26 Telephone: (718) 916-3520

27 E-mail: annej@hbsslaw.com

1 KELLER POSTMAN LLC

2 Zina G. Bash (*pro hac vice*)
3 111 Congress Avenue, Suite 500
4 Austin, TX, 78701
5 Telephone: (512) 690-0990
6 E-mail: zina.bash@kellerpostman.com

7 Warren D. Postman (*pro hac vice*)
8 Albert Y. Pak (*pro hac vice*)
9 1100 Vermont Avenue, N.W., 12th Floor
10 Washington DC, 20005
11 Telephone: (202) 918-1123
12 E-mail: wdp@kellerpostman.com
13 E-mail: albert.pak@kellerpostman.com

14 Jessica Beringer (*pro hac vice*)
15 Jason A. Zweig (*pro hac vice*)
16 150 N. Riverside Plaza, Suite 4100
17 Chicago, Illinois 60606
18 (312) 741-5220
19 E-mail: jessica.beringer@kellerpostman.com
20 E-mail: jaz@kellerpostman.com

21 *Interim Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs and the*
22 *proposed Class*

23 KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.

24 By: /s/ Derek W. Loeser

25 Derek W. Loeser (WSBA No. 24274)
26 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200
27 Seattle, WA 98101-3052
28 Telephone: (206) 623-1900
Facsimile: (206) 623-3384
E-mail: Dloeser@kellerrohrback.com

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
2 SULLIVAN, LLP

3 By: /s/ Alicia Cobb

4 Alicia Cobb, WSBA # 48685
5 1109 First Avenue, Suite 210
6 Seattle, WA 98101
7 Telephone: (206) 905-7000
8 Email: aliciacobb@quinnemanuel.com

9 Steig D. Olson (*pro hac vice*)
10 David D. LeRay (*pro hac vice*)
11 Nic V. Siebert (*pro hac vice*)
12 Maxwell P. Deabler-Meadows (*pro hac vice*)
13 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
14 New York, NY 10010
15 Telephone: (212) 849-7000
16 Email: steigolson@quinnemanuel.com
17 Email: davidleray@quinnemanuel.com
18 Email: nicolassiebert@quinnemanuel.com
19 Email: maxmeadows@quinnemanuel.com

20 Adam B. Wolfson (*pro hac vice*)
21 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor
22 Los Angeles, CA 90017-2543
23 Telephone: (213) 443-3000
24 Email: adamwolfson@quinnemanuel.com

25 *Interim Executive Committee for Plaintiffs and the*
26 *proposed Class*

27 DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP

28 By: /s/ John A. Goldmark

John A. Goldmark, WSBA # 40980
MaryAnn Almeida, WSBA #49086
920 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3300
Seattle, WA 98104-1610
Telephone: (206) 622-3150
Facsimile: (206) 757-7700
E-mail: JohnGoldmark@dwt.com
E-mail: MaryAnnAlmeida@dwt.com

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON &
GARRISON LLP

Karen L. Dunn (*pro hac vice*)
William A. Isaacson (*pro hac vice*)
Amy J. Mauser (*pro hac vice*)
Martha L. Goodman (*pro hac vice*)
Kyle Smith (*pro hac vice*)
2001 K Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20006-1047
Telephone: (202) 223-7300
Facsimile: (202) 223-7420
E-mail: kdunn@paulweiss.com
E-mail: wisaacson@paulweiss.com
E-mail: amauser@paulweiss.com
E-mail: mgoodman@paulweiss.com
E-mail: ksmith@paulweiss.com


Attorneys for Defendant Amazon.com, Inc.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 26, 2023



The Honorable Ricardo S. Martinez
United States District Judge

