Jama v State	Farm Fire and Casualty Company		Doc. 27
	Case 2:20-cv-00652-MJP Docum	ent 27 Filed 08/17/20 Page 1 of 6	
1		The Honorable Marsha J. Pechman	
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE		
8	FAYSAL A. JAMA, on behalf of himself and		
9	all others similarly situated,		
10	Plaintiff,	Case No. 2:20-cv-00652-MJP	
11	v. STATE FARM FIRE AND CASUALTY	STIPULATED ORDER UNDER FED. R.	
12	INSURANCE COMPANY,	EVID. 502(d)	
13	Defendant.		
14	1. Pursuant to the agreement of the	Parties under Fed. R. Evid. 502(e) and by Order	
15	of this Court under Fed. R. Evid. 502(d), no disclosure, production, or exchange of Information		
16	(as defined below) in connection with the above-captioned matter (the "Litigation") shall		
17	constitute a waiver of attorney-client privilege of	constitute a waiver of attorney-client privilege or of any work product protection in this or any	
18	other federal or state proceeding under any circu	umstances.	
19	2. This Order applies to all docume	nts and electronically stored information (as	
20	those terms are used in Fed. R. Civ. P. 34), the information contained therein, and all other		
21	information produced, disclosed, or exchanged	by the Parties in connection with this Litigation,	
22	whether revealed in a document, electronically stored information, deposition, other testimony,		
23	discovery response or otherwise (collectively, "Information"). This Order should be interpreted		
24	to prevent waiver to the broadest extent possible	e under the Federal Rules of Evidence and the	
25	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.		
26			

1 3. This Order is entered pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c)(1) and is 2 intended to protect the Parties to the Litigation, to the fullest extent permissible by law, against 3 any waiver of the attorney-client privilege and/or the work product protection that might 4 otherwise arise from the disclosure of privileged or protected Information. This Order is intended 5 to override any contrary law or presumptions, if and as applicable and permissible. The Parties' 6 compliance with this Order's terms shall be understood, for all purposes within and outside this 7 Litigation, to constitute reasonable and prompt efforts to preserve privileges and protections 8 from discovery in respect to any disclosed privileged or protected Information.

9 4. This Order applies regardless of whether the Information describes or relates to
10 actions taken in this Litigation, in prior or separate proceedings, or in other non-litigation
11 matters.

12 5. A party receiving Information ("Receiving Party") is under a good faith
13 obligation to promptly alert the Producing Party if Information that is produced, disclosed,
14 exhibited, or communicated by a Producing Party appears to be privileged or work product15 protected either on its face or in light of facts known to the Receiving Party.

16 6. To effectuate a clawback, upon learning of the production of privileged or 17 protected Information, the Party making a production ("Producing Party") shall promptly give all 18 counsel of record written notice of the production. The Producing Party need not provide any 19 explanation or evidence regarding the reasonableness of the efforts taken to prevent production 20 of such Information, and the Receiving Party agrees that it will not challenge the reasonableness 21 of such efforts. The notice shall identify the Information that was produced (including the format 22 of the production—e.g., paper, electronically stored information) and the date(s) the Information 23 was produced. If the Producing Party claims that only a portion of a document, electronically 24 stored information or tangible thing produced is privileged or protected Information, the 25 Producing Party shall also promptly provide a new copy of the Information with the allegedly 26 privileged or protected portions redacted. If the Receiving Party had previously filed documents with the Court containing newly-identified protected Information or pleadings discussing those

documents' contents, then the Parties shall work in good faith to determine whether exhibits
 must be withdrawn and filed with new redactions.

3 7. Upon receiving notice of a production or upon determining that Information it 4 received is known to be privileged or protected in whole or in part, the Receiving Party must 5 promptly return, sequester, and/or destroy the Information and all copies and destroy any notes 6 that reproduce, copy or otherwise reflect or disclose the substance of the privileged or work 7 product-protected Information. Any such Information that may exist on any computer or back-up 8 media which cannot be reasonably deleted may be retained until such time as the media is 9 subject to routine deletion or destruction provided that no person attempts to access the contents 10 of the Information unless allowed under the terms of this Order. If the Receiving Party disclosed 11 the privileged or work product-protected Information before being notified, it must take 12 reasonable steps to retrieve and prevent further use or distribution of such Information. This duty 13 expires if this Court rules that the Information is not privileged or protected by the work product 14 doctrine.

8. If the Receiving Party contests the claim of privilege or work product protection
in good-faith, it may within fourteen (14) days of the Producing Party's written notice, seek
determination from the Court as to the privileged or protected nature of the Information. Pending
such challenge, a Receiving Party may securely retain copies of the document, record, or data
and any related notes. Pending a ruling on its challenge, the Receiving Party may not use the
protected Information in any way other than prosecuting its challenge.

9. To the extent that any Party obtains any privileged Information through disclosure
or communications, such Information may not be submitted to the Court or presented for
admission into evidence or sought in discovery by that Party in this Litigation or in any other
proceeding or action unless such filing is made under seal. If requested by the Receiving Party,
the Producing Party shall provide the Information at issue to the Court for *in camera* review
unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

3

10. If the Court sustains the claim that the Information disclosed, exchanged, produced, or discussed is privileged or work product-protected, the Receiving Party must, within ten (10) days of the Court's order, promptly return and/or destroy the Information and all copies and destroy any notes that reproduce, copy or otherwise disclose the substance of the privileged or work product-protected Information. The Receiving Party shall advise the Producing Party in 6 writing of the return and/or destruction.

11. Nothing in this Order shall be construed to limit a party's right to conduct a review of documents, ESI, or information (including metadata) for relevance and responsiveness and/or require the production of any Information or communication that a Party contends is protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and/or the work product doctrine.

10 11

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD:

12	s/ Mark A. Trivett	<u>s/</u>
13	Duncan C. Turner, WSBA No. 20597	Per
14	Mark A. Trivett, WSBA No. 46375 BADGLEY MULLINS TURNER, PLLC	WI 21
15	19929 Ballinger Way NW, #200 Seattle, WA 98155	St. Ph
16	Telephone: 206-621-6566 Fax: 206-621-9686	Fa: En
17	Email: dturner@badgelymullins.com mtrivett@badgleymullins.com	LII
18		
19	Daniel R. Whitmore, WSBA No. 24012	Eri
20	LAW OFFICES OF DANIEL R.	W
21	WHITMORE, PS 6840 Fort Dent Way, #210	37 De
22	Tukwila, WA 98188 Telephone: 206-329-8400	Ph Fa
23	Facsimile: 206-329-8401 Email: dan@whitmorelawfirm.com	En
24	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
25		
26		

Peter W. Herzog III

eter W. Herzog III (pro hac vice) heeler Trigg O'Donnell LLP 1 N. Broadway, Suite 2825 . Louis, Missouri 63102 none: 314.326.4128 303.244.1879 ax: pherzog@wtotrial.com mail:

ric L. Robertson (pro hac vice) heeler Trigg O'Donnell LLP 70 17th Street, Suite 4500 enver, Colorado 80202-5647 none: 303.244.1842 303.244.1879 ax: robertson@wtotrial.com mail:

STIPULATED ORDER UNDER FED. R. EVID. 502(D) Case No. 2:20-cv-00652-MJP

	Case 2:20-cv-00652-MJP Document 27 Filed 08/17/20 Page 5 of 6
1	
2	s/ Matthew Munson
3	Joseph D. Hampton, WSBA #15297
4	Matthew Munson, WSBA #32019 Betts, Patterson & Mines, P.S.
5	One Convention Place 701 Pike Street, Suite 1400
6	Seattle, WA 98101-3927
7	Phone: 206.292.9988 Fax: 206.343.7053
8	Email: jhampton@bpmlaw.com mmunson@bpmlaw.com
9	Attorneys for Defendant
10	
11	
12	DONE and ORDERED this _17th_ day of _August_, 2020.
13	The ARA
14	Maesluf Velena
15	Marsha J. Pechman United States Senior District Judge
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	STIPULATED ORDER UNDER 5

	Case 2:20-cv-00652-MJP Document 27 Filed 08/17/20 Page 6 of 6
1	
2	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE (CM/ECF)
3	I certify that on August 14, 2020 I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following
4	email addresses:
5 6	Daniel R. Whitmore dan@whitmorelawfirm.com
7	• Duncan C. Turner dturner@badgelymullins.com
8 9	• Mark A. Trivett mtrivett@badgleymullins.com
10	<u>s/ Matthew Munson</u>
11	Matthew Munson
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
20	
	STIPULATED ORDER UNDER 6 BETTS PATTERSON & MINES, P.S. TOL Bile Street, Suite 140