NXP USA Inc et al v. Impinj Inc

Doc. 188

1 which point Impini requested by phone that the Court seal the filing at docket 179. The Court 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

provisionally sealed the filing on June 27, 2022 and also directed Impini to file a redacted version of the Motion for Leave to File Amended Answer. June 27, 2022 Notice of Docket Text Modification. On June 29, 2022, Impini filed a redacted version of the Motion for Leave to File Amended Answer. Dkt. 183. The parties believe that the minimal reductions on page 5 of the Motion for Leave to File Amended Answer (only two words) protect against the disclosure of confidential information without interfering with the public's access to judicial records, and request that the Court keep the unredacted version of Impinj's Motion for Leave to File Amended Answer under seal.

## III. CERTIFICATION

In accordance with Local Civil Rule 5(g)(3)(A), Kaitlin Crowder (for NXP) and Ramsey Al-Salam (for Impini) engaged in email discussions on June 27, 2022 regarding Impini's filing at docket 179, and agreed that Impini immediately would request that the Court seal that filing because it includes confidential commercial information. At the Court's direction, Impinj then filed with the Court a public version of the Motion for Leave to File Amended Answer containing only minimal redactions. Dkt. 183 (redacting two words). On July 7, 2022, counsel for NXP and Impini engaged in additional discussions relating to the present stipulated motion.

## IV. **ARGUMENT**

The "Good Cause" Standard Applies to NXP's Request for the Filing at Docket 179 to Α. Remain Sealed.

The standard for determining whether to seal a document depends "on whether the motion at issues is more than tangentially related to the underlying cause of action." Ctr. for Auto Safety v. Chrysler Grp., 809 F.3d 1092, 1098 (9th Cir. 2016). If the records at issue are more than tangentially related to the merits of a case, the "compelling reasons" standard applies to the motion

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

> STIPULATED MOTION AND ORDER TO SEAL - 3 (Case No. 2:20-cv-01503-JHC)

to seal. Id. at 1098-1102. But if the records are only tangentially related to the merits, the less exacting "good cause" standard applies. Id.

Because the information NXP seeks to maintain under seal (a dollar amount) is not related to the merits NXP needs only to show "good cause" to maintain Impinj's unredacted motion under seal.

## В. The Parties Agree that Good Cause Exists for the Filing at Docket 179 to Remain Sealed and for a Version with only Limited Redactions to be Publicly Filed.

Federal courts consistently recognize that the risk of disclosure of confidential and commercially sensitive information satisfies the more exacting "compelling reasons" standard and have permitted the filing under seal of documents containing such information. See, e.g., In re Elec. Arts, Inc., 298 F. App'x 568, 569-70 (9th Cir. 2008) (holding it was error not to seal "confidential and commercially sensitive information," including pricing terms, royalty rates, and guaranteed minimum payment terms in a licensing agreement); O'Donnell/Salvatori Inc. v. Microsoft Corp., No. C20-882-MLP, 2020 WL 3962132, at \*3 (W.D. Wash. July 13, 2020) (sealing material which "appears to be confidential and commercially sensitive"); see also Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c)(1)(G) (trial court has broad discretion to permit sealing of court documents to protect "a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information"). This is because "[e]very court has supervisory power over its own records and files" and thus an obligation "to insure that its records are not used . . . as sources of business information that might harm a litigant's competitive standing." Nixon v. Warner Comme'ns, Inc., 435 U.S. 589, 598 (1978). Where the material sought to be sealed "includes information about proprietary business operations, a company's business model or agreements with clients, there are compelling reasons to seal the material" which "outweigh[] the general public interest in understanding the judicial process." Selling Source, LLC v. Red River Ventures, LLC, No. 2:09-cv-01491, 2011 WL 1630338, at \*6 (D. Nev. Apr. 29, 2011).

Here, the parties agree that good cause exists for Impinj's unredacted Motion for Leave to File Amended Answer (Dkt. 179) to remain sealed. The unredacted motion discloses confidential commercial information relating to the price paid for certain of NXP's licensing agreements. This information is not generally known to NXP's current and potential licensing partners, and NXP will suffer significant injury to its competitive standing if it is disclosed, including potentially diminished future negotiating power. *See Fed. Trade Comm'n v. Amazon.com, Inc.*, No. C14-1038-JCC, 2016 WL 4162440, at \*1 (W.D. Wash. Mar. 22, 2016) (sealing information regarding Amazon's refund rates, which were deemed sensitive commercial information). Further, because Impinj's Motion for Leave to File Amended Answer already has been filed, there is no less restrictive alternative to protect NXP from competitive harm other than to maintain Impinj's unredacted motion at docket 179 under seal. A version of the motion with limited redactions already has been publicly filed.

## IV. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, NXP and Impinj respectfully request that this Court maintain under seal the unreducted version of Impinj's Motion for Leave to File Amended Answer, filed on June 21, 2022 at docket 179.

STIPULATED MOTION AND ORDER TO SEAL - 4 (Case No. 2:20-cv-01503-JHC)

1	DATED this 8th day of July, 2022.	
2	PERKINS COIE LLP	HARRIGAN LEYH FARMER & THOMSEN LLP
3	By:_ <i>s/Ramsey M. Al-Salam</i>	By: s/Tyler L. Farmer
	By: s/Christina J. McCullough	By: s/Bryn R. Pallesen
4	By: s/Stevan R. Stark	Tyler L. Farmer, WSBA #39912
5	By: s/R. Tyler Kendrick	Bryn R. Pallesen, WSBA #57714
7	Ramsey M. Al-Salam, WSBA	999 Third Avenue, Suite 4400
6	#18822	Seattle, WA 98104
	Christina J. McCullough, WSBA	Tel: (206) 623-1700
7	#47147	Fax: (206) 623-8717
	Stevan R. Stark, WSBA #39639	Email: tylerf@harriganleyh.com
8	R. Tyler Kendrick, WSBA #55094	Email: brynp@harriganleyh.com
9	1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900	YOUTH RIVE
9	Seattle, WA 98101-3099	JONES DAY
10	Tel: 206.359.6385	TI W D' 1' ( 1 · · · 1 · · · )
10	Fax: 206.359.9000	Thomas W. Ritchie (admitted pro hac vice)
11	Email: RAlSalam@perkinscoie.com	77 West Wacker Drive
	Email:	Chicago, IL 60601-1692
12	CMcCullough@perkinscoie.com Email: SStark@perkinscoie.com	Tel: (312) 269-4259
12	Email: RKendrick@perkinscoie.com	Email: twritchie@jonesday.com
13	Eman. KKendrick@perkinscole.com	T. Kaitlin Crowder (admitted pro hac vice)
14		901 Lakeside Ave
.	By:_s/Brianna L. Kadjo	Cleveland, OH 44114
15	Brianna L. Kadjo, CO #55578	Tel: (216) 586-7347
	1900 16 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1400	Email: kcrowder@jonesday.com
16	Denver, CO 80202	
17	Tel: (303) 291-2349	Michael C. Hendershot (admitted pro hac
17	Email: bkadjo@perkinscoie.com	vice)
18	<b>3</b>	Tharan Greg Lanier (admitted pro hac vice)
	Attorneys for Impinj, Inc.	1755 Embarcadero Road
19		Palo Alto, CA 94303
		Tel: (650) 739-3940
20		Email: mhendershot@jonesday.com
21		Email: tglanier@jonesday.com
21		
22		Yury Kalish (admitted pro hac vice)
		Tracy A. Stitt (admitted pro hac vice)
23		51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W.

24

25

Washington D.C. 20001 Tel: (202) 879-3616

Email: ykalish@jonesday.com

Email: tastitt@jonesday.com

1	Jonathan McNeal Smith
2	555 South Flower Street, Fiftieth Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071
3	Tel: (213) 243-2559 Email: jonathansmith@jonesday.com
4	Attorneys for NXP USA, Inc. and NXP B.V.
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22   23	
23   24	
25	

1	ORDER
2	Based on the foregoing Stipulated Motion, the Court orders the clerk to maintain under seal
3	the unredacted version of Impinj's Motion for Leave to File Amended Answer, filed on June 21,
4	2022 at docket 179.
5	IT IS SO ORDERED
6	DATED this 8th day of July, 2022.
7	John A. Chan
8	THE HONORABLE JOHN H. CHUN
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
10	
11	Presented by:
12	HARRIGAN LEYH FARMER & THOMSEN LLP
13	By: s/Tyler L. Farmer
	By: s/Bryn R. Pallesen
14	Tyler L. Farmer, WSBA #39912
15	Bryn R. Pallesen, WSBA #57714 999 Third Avenue, Suite 4400
	Seattle, WA 98104
16	Tel: (206) 623-1700
1.7	Fax: (206) 623-8717
17	Email: tylerf@harriganleyh.com
18	Email: brynp@harriganleyh.com
19	JONES DAY
20	Thomas W. Ritchie (admitted pro hac vice)
21	77 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60601-1692
22	Tel: (312) 269-4259 Email: twritchie@jonesday.com
23	
	T. Kaitlin Crowder ( <i>admitted pro hac vice</i> ) 901 Lakeside Ave
24	Cleveland, OH 44114
25	Tel: (216) 586-7347
23	Email: kcrowder@jonesday.com

1	Michael C. Hendershot (admitted pro hac vice
	Tharan Greg Lanier (admitted pro hac vice)
2	1755 Embarcadero Road
2	Palo Alto, CA 94303
3	Tel: (650) 739-3940
4	Email: mhendershot@jonesday.com
٠	Email: tglanier@jonesday.com
5	
	Yury Kalish (admitted pro hac vice)
6	Tracy A. Stitt (admitted pro hac vice)
_	51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W.
7	Washington D.C. 20001
8	Tel: (202) 879-3616
8	Email: ykalish@jonesday.com
9	Email: tastitt@jonesday.com
	I A MN 10 M
10	Jonathan McNeal Smith
	555 South Flower Street, Fiftieth Floor
11	Los Angeles, CA 90071
10	Tel: (213) 243-2559
12	Email: jonathansmith@jonesday.com
13	Attorneys for NXP USA, Inc. and NXP B.V.
14	PERKINS COIE LLP
15	
13	By: s/Ramsey M. Al-Salam
16	By: s/Christina J. McCullough
	By: s/Stevan R. Stark
17	By: s/R. Tyler Kendrick
	Ramsey M. Al-Salam, WSBA #18822
18	Christina J. McCullough, WSBA #47147
10	Stevan R. Stark, WSBA #39639
19	R. Tyler Kendrick, WSBA #55094
20	1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900
20	Seattle, WA 98101-3099
21	Tel: 206.359.6385 Fax: 206.359.9000
22	Email: RAlSalam@perkinscoie.com
	Email: CMcCullough@perkinscoie.com Email: SStark@perkinscoie.com
23	Email: Stark@perkinscole.com  Email: RKendrick@perkinscole.com
_,	Eman. KKendrick@perkinscole.com
24	

1	By: <u>s/Brianna L. Kadjo</u>
	Brianna L. Kadjo, CO #55578
2	1900 16 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1400
3	Denver, CO 80202
	Tel: (303) 291-2349 Email: bkadjo@perkinscoie.com
4	Linaii. bkadjo@perkiiiseoie.com
5	Attorneys for Impinj, Inc.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15 16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
2.5	