Coalition to Protect Puget Sound Habitat et al v. US Army Corps of Engineers et al

Doc. 26

1		days from a ruling on that motion,
2		whichever is later
3		120 days after the
4		Corps files the administrative
5	Phase 2: The Corps will file its certified administrative records for no	records described in Phase 1
6	less than 108 LOPs with the Court and provide Plaintiffs with a complete copy of those documents.	-OR- If a motion to
7		dismiss is filed, 60 days from a ruling
8		on that motion, whichever is later
9		90 days after the
10	Phase 3: The Corps will file its certified administrative records for no	Corps files the administrative
11	less than 108 LOPs with the Court and provide Plaintiffs with a complete copy of those documents.	records for the LOPs described in
12		Phase 2 above
13		90 days after the Corps files the
14	Phase 4: The Corps will file its certified administrative records for no less than 108 LOPs with the Court and provide Plaintiffs with a	administrative records for the
15	complete copy of those documents.	LOPs described in Phase 3 above
16		90 days after the
17	Phase 5: The Corps will file its certified administrative records for the last of the 424 LOPs with the Court and provide Plaintiffs with a	Corps files the administrative
18	complete copy of those documents.	records for the
19		LOPs described in Phase 4 above

b. Procedure for resolving any disputes among the Parties regarding the contents of the administrative records for NWP 48 and Regional Conditions for Seattle District (Re Nationwide Permits) (Phase 1):

Event	Date
l * * *	45 days after the Corps files the

20

21

22

23

24

1	administrative records for NWP 48 and the Regional Conditions for	administrative
2	Seattle District (Re Nationwide Permits) and/or any requests for the	records for NWP 48
2	admission of extra-record evidence. Plaintiffs will provide the Corps	and the Regional
3	with copies of any materials they have or had access to and which they seek to include in the administrative record or to admit as extra-record	Conditions for Seattle District
	evidence. Subject to part d. below, Plaintiffs will also identify any	Seattle District
4	documents, beyond those in the Corps' certified administrative records	
	for the NWP 48 and Regional Conditions for Seattle District (Re	
5	Nationwide Permits), that they propose should be considered as part of	
	the adjudication of the ESA claim.	
6	For all issues the Parties cannot resolve without adjudication regarding	
7	the Corps' certified administrative records for NWP 48 and the	90 days after the
′	Regional Conditions for Seattle District (Re Nationwide Permits),	Corps files the administrative
8	Plaintiffs will file a motion (1) challenging the contents of those records, and/or (2) seeking to admit extra-record evidence. If the	records for NWP 48
	Parties cannot resolve disputes regarding documents Plaintiffs proposed	and the Regional
9	for consideration by the Court in adjudicating the ESA claim, Plaintiffs'	Conditions for
1.0	referenced motion will request that these documents be considered by	Seattle District
10	the Court during the subsequent merits adjudication of the ESA claim.	
11	Deadline for the Corps to respond to any administrative record related	4 weeks from the
11	motion.	date the motion is
12		filed
		3 weeks from the
13	Deadline for Plaintiffs to reply.	date the Corps'
14		response is filed
14		

c. Procedure for resolving any disputes among the Parties regarding the contents of the administrative records filed by the Corps for the four phases of LOPs (Phases 2, 3, 4, and 5):

l	Event	Date
	Plaintiffs will identify and communicate to the Corps any issues regarding the completeness of, or disputing, the Corps' certified administrative records for the four phases of LOPs and/or any requests for the admission of extra-record evidence. Plaintiffs will provide the Corps with copies of any materials they have or had access to and which they seek to include in the administrative record or to admit as extra-record evidence.	45 Days after the Corps files the certified administrative record for the last of the 424 LOPs (Phase 5)
	For all issues the Parties cannot resolve without adjudication regarding the Corps' certified administrative records for the three phases of LOPs, Plaintiffs will file a motion challenging the contents of those records and/or seeking to admit extra-record evidence.	90 days after the Corps files the certified administrative records for the last

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24

	of the 424 LOPs (Phase 5)
Deadline for the Corps to respond to any administrative record related Motion.	4 weeks from the date the motion is filed
Deadline for Plaintiffs to reply.	3 weeks from the date the Corps' response is filed

d. Procedure for resolving disputes regarding documents for consideration by the Court upon adjudicating the ESA clams:

Unless previously resolved through the procedures in part b. above, before the Parties propose a schedule for briefing motions for summary judgment on the ESA claim, (1) Plaintiffs will identify any documents, beyond those that the Court previously ruled are to be included as part of the administrative records for the NWP 48 and Regional Conditions for Seattle District (Re Nationwide Permits) or that the Court will consider as extra-record evidence, that they propose should be considered as part of the adjudication of the ESA claim; and (2) if the Parties cannot resolve disputes regarding such documents Plaintiffs propose for consideration by the Court in adjudicating the ESA claim, Defendants will file a motion to resolve any such disputes. The parties agree that summary judgment briefing on the ESA claim should be deferred or stayed until after the Court resolves any dispute regarding documents Plaintiffs propose for consideration by the Court in adjudicating the ESA claim.

Dated this 11th day of July, 2022

David W. Christel

United States Magistrate Judge

1	Presented by:
2	TODD KIM
3	Assistant Attorney General Environment & Natural Resources Division
4	/s/ David Kaplan DAVID KAPLAN
5	Environmental Defense Section
6	Environment and Natural Resources Division United States Department of Justice
7	P.O. Box 7611 Washington, DC 20044
8	(202) 514-0997 David.Kaplan@usdoj.gov
9	AMANDA M. STONER
10	MD Bar No. 2012180040 United States Department of Justice
11	Environment & Natural Resources Division Natural Resources Section
12	P.O. Box 7611 Washington, DC 20044
13	T: (202) 598-0881 F: (202) 305-0275
14	Amanda.Stoner@usdoj.gov
15	MARK ARTHUR BROWN Wildlife and Marine Resources Section
16	Environment and Natural Resources Division United States Department of Justice
17	P.O. Box 7611 Washington, DC 20044
18	(202) 305-0204 mark.brown@usdoj.gov
19	Attorneys for U.S. Army Corps of Engineers et al.
20	/s/ Karl Anuta
21	Karl G. Anuta (WSB No. 21346) Law Office of Karl G. Anuta, P.C.
22	735 SW 1st Ave., 2nd Floor Portland, OR 97204
23	(503) 827-0320 kga@integra.net
24	

## Case 2:21-cv-01685-JCC-DWC Document 26 Filed 07/11/22 Page 6 of 6

1	Attorney for Plaintiff Coalition to Protect Puget Sound Habitat
2	/s/Amy van Saunt
3	George A. Kimbrell (WSB No. 36050) Amy van Saun (pro hac vice)
4	Center for Food Safety 2009 NE Alberta Street, Suite 207
5	(971) 271-7372 gkimbrell@centerforfoodsafety.org
6	avansaun@centerforfoodsafety.org
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff Center for Food Safety
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	