1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 PCF INSURANCE SERVICES OF CASE NO. 2:23-cv-01468-JCC THE WEST, LLC, 9 AGREEMENT REGARDING Plaintiff, DISCOVERY OF ECTRONICALLY STORED 10 v. **INFORMATION AND** [PROPOSED] ORDER 11 JAMES FRITTS AND RI INSURANCE SERVICES, LLC, Noted for December 15, 2023 12 Defendants. 13 14 The parties hereby stipulate to the following provisions regarding the discovery of 15 electronically stored information ("ESI") in this matter: 16 A. **General Principles** 17 1. An attorney's zealous representation of a client is not compromised by conducting 18 discovery in a cooperative manner. The failure of counsel or the parties to litigation to cooperate 19 in facilitating and reasonably limiting discovery requests and responses raises litigation costs and 20 contributes to the risk of sanctions. 21 2. As provided in LCR 26(f), the proportionality standard set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 22 26(b)(1) must be applied in each case when formulating a discovery plan. To further the 23 application of the proportionality standard in discovery, requests for production of ESI and related 24

AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY

responses should be reasonably targeted, clear, and as specific as possible. This agreement is intended to assist the parties in identifying relevant, responsive information that has been stored electronically and is proportional to the needs of the case. The agreement does not supplant the parties' obligations to comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 34.

B. **ESI Disclosures**

Within 14 days after entry of this Order, or at a later time if agreed to by the parties, each party shall disclose:

- 1. Custodians. The custodians most likely to have discoverable ESI in their possession, custody, or control. The custodians shall be identified by name, title, and the type of information under the custodians' control.
- 2. Non-custodial Data Sources. A list of non-custodial data sources (e.g., shared drives, servers, and financial databases), if any, likely to contain discoverable ESI.
- 3. Third Party Data Sources. A list of third party data sources, if any, likely to contain discoverable ESI (e.g., third party email providers, mobile device providers, cloud storage) and, for each such source, the extent to which a party is (or is not) able to preserve information stored in the third party data source.
- 4. Inaccessible Data. A list of data sources, if any, likely to contain discoverable ESI (by type, date, custodian, electronic system or other criteria sufficient to specifically identify the data source) that a party asserts is not reasonably accessible under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(2)(B).
- 5. <u>Foreign data privacy laws</u>. Nothing in this Order is intended to prevent either party from complying with the requirements of a foreign country's data privacy laws, e.g., the European Union's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) (EU) 2016/679. The parties agree to meet

23

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

discovery request.

C. **ESI Discovery Procedures**

On-site inspection of electronic media. Such an inspection shall not be required 1. absent a demonstration by the requesting party of specific need and good cause or by agreement of the parties.

and confer before including custodians or data sources subject to such laws in any ESI or other

- 2. Search methodology. The parties shall timely confer to attempt to reach agreement on appropriate search terms and queries, file type and date restrictions, data sources (including custodians), and other appropriate computer- or technology-aided methodologies, before any such effort is undertaken. The parties shall continue to cooperate in revising the appropriateness of the search methodology.
 - Prior to running searches: a.
- i. The producing party shall disclose the data sources (including custodians), search terms and queries, any file type and date restrictions, and any other methodology that it proposes to use to locate ESI likely to contain responsive and discoverable information. The producing party may provide unique hit counts for each search query.
- ii. After disclosure, the parties will engage in a meet and confer process regarding additional terms sought by the non-producing party.
- iii. The following provisions apply to search terms / queries of the non-producing party. Focused terms and queries should be employed; broad terms or queries without appropriate limiting parameters, such as product and company names standing alone, generally should be avoided. The producing party may identify each search term or query returning overbroad results and provide a counter-proposal correcting the overbroad search or

query. For the avoidance of doubt, nothing herein shall obligate the producing party to adopt

without modification any search term proposed by the non-producing party.

b. Upon reasonable request, a party shall disclose information relating to its network design, types of databases, database dictionaries, the access control list and security access logs and rights of individuals to access the system and specific files and applications, the ESI document retention policy, an organizational chart for information systems personnel, or the backup and systems recovery routines, including, but not limited to, tape rotation and destruction/overwrite policy, in each case if and to the extent that such information exists, is reasonably accessible and not unduly burdensome to retrieve and/or provide, is relevant and is not privileged.

3. Format.

- Unless otherwise agreed by the parties, ESI will be produced in the a. following format: single-page images and associated multi-page text files containing extracted text or with appropriate software load files containing all information required by the litigation support system used by the receiving party. Files that are not easily converted to image format, such as spreadsheet, database, and drawing files, may be produced in native format.
- h. Each document image file shall be named with a unique number (Bates Number). When a document image file is produced, the producing party must preserve the integrity of all underlying ESI, i.e., the original formatting, the metadata (as noted below) and, where applicable, the revision history.
- c. If a document is more than one page, the unitization of the document and any attachments and/or affixed notes shall be maintained as they existed in the original document.

23

20

21

22

- d. The full text of each electronic document shall be extracted ("Extracted Text") and produced in a text file. The Extracted Text shall be provided in searchable ASCII text format (or Unicode text format if the text is in a foreign language) and shall be named with a unique Bates Number (e.g., the unique Bates Number of the first page of the corresponding production version of the document followed by its file extension).
- 4. <u>De-duplication.</u> The parties may de-duplicate their ESI production across custodial and non-custodial data sources after disclosure to the requesting party. For each produced document, metadata memorializing duplicate custodian information removed during the deduplication process shall be provided in a duplicate/other custodian field in the database load file.
- 5. <u>Email Threading.</u> The parties may use analytics technology to identify email threads and need only produce the unique most inclusive copy and related family members and may exclude lesser inclusive copies. Upon reasonable request, the producing party will produce a less inclusive copy.
- 6. <u>Metadata fields.</u> The following metadata fields shall be provided, to the extent available, for any particular produced document or electronic file: document type; custodian and duplicate custodians (or storage location if no custodian); author/from; recipient/to, cc and bcc; title/subject; email subject; file name; file size; file extension; original file path; date and time created, sent, modified and received; family structure and hash value.
- 7. Hard-Copy Documents. If the parties elect to produce hard-copy documents in an electronic format, the production of hard-copy documents will include a cross-reference file that indicates document breaks and sets forth the custodian or custodian/location associated with each produced document. Hard-copy documents will be scanned using Optical Character Recognition technology and searchable ASCII text files will be produced (or Unicode text format if the text is

in a foreign language), unless the producing party can show that the cost would outweigh the usefulness of scanning (for example, when the condition of the paper is not conducive to scanning and will not result in accurate or reasonably useable/searchable ESI). Each file will be named with a unique Bates Number (e.g., the unique Bates Number of the first page of the corresponding production version of the document followed by its file extension).

D. Preservation of ESI

The parties acknowledge that they have a common law obligation, as expressed in Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(e), to take reasonable and proportional steps to preserve discoverable information in the party's possession, custody, or control. With respect to preservation of ESI, the parties agree as follows:

- 1. Absent a showing of good cause by the requesting party, the parties shall not be required to modify the procedures used by them in the ordinary course of business to back-up and archive data; provided, however, that the parties shall take reasonable steps to preserve discoverable ESI in their possession, custody, or control.
- 2. The parties will supplement their disclosures in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) with discoverable ESI responsive to a particular discovery request or mandatory disclosure where that data is created after a disclosure or response is made (unless excluded under Sections (D)(3) or (E)(1)-(2)).
- 3. Absent a showing of good cause by the requesting party, the following categories of ESI need not be preserved:
 - Deleted, slack, fragmented, or other data only accessible by forensics. a.
 - b. Random access memory (RAM), temporary files, or other ephemeral data that are difficult to preserve without disabling the operating system.
 - c. Data in metadata fields that are frequently updated automatically, such as last-opened dates (see also Section (E)(5)).

25 AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER (Case No. 2:23-CV-01468-JCC)

19

20

21

22

23

d. Back-up data that are duplicative of data that are more accessible elsewhere.

E. Privilege

- 1. A producing party shall create a privilege log of all documents fully withheld from production on the basis of a privilege or protection, unless otherwise agreed or excepted by this Agreement and Order. Privilege logs shall include a unique identification number for each document and the basis for the claim (attorney-client privilege, attorney work product, and/or related doctrines). For ESI, the privilege log may be generated using available metadata, including author/recipient or to/from/cc/bcc names; the subject matter or title; and date created. Should the available metadata provide insufficient information for the purpose of evaluating the privilege claim asserted, the producing party shall include such additional information as required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Privilege logs will be produced to all other parties as set forth in a scheduling order to be agreed upon by the parties and/or entered by the Court.
- 2. Redactions need not be logged so long as the basis for the redaction is clear on the redacted document.
- 3. With respect to privileged or work-product information generated after the filing of the complaint, parties are not required to include any such information in privilege logs.
- 4. Activities undertaken in compliance with the duty to preserve information are protected from disclosure and discovery under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(3)(A) and (B).
- 5. Pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 502(d), the production of any documents, electronically stored information (ESI) or information, whether inadvertent or otherwise, in this proceeding shall not, for the purposes of this proceeding or any other federal or state proceeding, constitute a waiver by the producing party of any privilege applicable to those documents, including the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product protection, or any other privilege or protection

1	recognized by law. This Order shall be interpreted to provide the maximum protection allowed
2	by Fed. R. Evid. 502(d). The provisions of Fed. R. Evid. 502(b) do not apply. Nothing contained
3	herein is intended to or shall serve to limit a party's right to conduct a review of documents, ESI
4	or information (including metadata) for relevance, responsiveness and/or segregation of
5	privileged and/or protected information before production. Information produced in discovery
6	that is protected as privileged or work product shall be immediately returned to the producing
7	party.
8	Dated: December 15, 2023 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
9	By: <u>s/Mark S. Parris</u> Mark S. Parris (WSBA No. 18370)
10	mparris@orrick.com 401 Union Street, Suite 3300
11	Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: +1 206 839 4300
12	Facsimile: +1 206 839 4301
13	SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
14	By: s/Cliff C. Gardner
15	Cliff C. Gardner (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) Cliff.Gardner@skadden.com
16	One Rodney Square, 920 N. King Street Wilmington, DE 19801
17	Telephone: +1 302 651 3000 Facsimile: +1 302 651 3001
18	Attorneys for Plaintiff PCF Insurance Services of the
19	West, LLC
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
۷ 41	

25 AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER (Case No. 2:23-CV-01468-JCC)

1	Dated December 15, 2023 BY	RNES KELLER CROMWELL LLP	
2	By:	s/Bradley S. Keller Brad Keller (WSBA No. 10665)	
3	3	John Tondini (WSBA No. 19092) bkeller@byrneskeller.com	
4	l	1000 2 nd Ave., Suite 3800 Seattle, WA 98104	
5	5	Telephone: +1 206 662 2000	
6	II	Facsimile: +1 206 622 2522	
7	II	UL HASTINGS LLP	
8	II .	s <u>s/ Bradley J. Bondi</u> Bradley J. Bondi (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	
8	'	John S. Darden (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	
9)	Neil J. Schumacher (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	
		Traci Zeller (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	
10		Steven W. Shuldman (Pending <i>Pro Hac</i>	Vice)
10	'	bradbondi@paulhastings.com	rice
11		2050 M St. NW	
* 1		Washington, DC 20036	
12	,	Telephone: +1 202 551 1701	
		Facsimile: +1 202 551 0202	
13	;	1 405 mme. 1 202 331 0202	
	II	orneys for Defendants James Fritts and Ri	r
14		urance Services, LLC	
15			
16			
17	7		
18	3		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24	 		
25	STORED INFORMATION AND [PROPOSED] ORD		PAGE - 9
26 l) (Case INO. 2.23-C V-01408-JCC)		

1	ORDER
2	Based on the foregoing, IT IS SO ORDERED.
3	
4	DATED this 18th day of December 2023.
5	John C Coylina
6	Ŭ
7	John C. Coughenour UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	