Dockets.Justia.com

THE HONORABLE JOHN H. CHUN 1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 8 UNITED BROTHERHOOD OF CASE NO. 3:22-cv-05082 JHC CARPENTERS AND JOINERS OF 9 AMERICA, an unincorporated AGREEMENT REGARDING association, and PACIFIC **DISCOVERY OF** 10 NORTHWEST REGIONAL ELECTRONICALLY STORED COUNCIL OF CARPENTERS, an INFORMATION AND ORDER 11 unincorporated association, 12 Plaintiffs, 13 v. 14 KRISTINE COLE, an individual; and 15 KEN ERVIN, an individual, 16 Defendants. 17 The parties hereby agree and stipulate to the following provisions regarding the discovery of electronically stored information ("ESI") in this matter: 18 19 **General Principles** A. 20 1. An attorney's zealous representation of a client is not compromised by conducting discovery in a cooperative manner. The failure of counsel or the parties to litigation to cooperate 21 22 in facilitating and reasonably limiting discovery requests and responses raises litigation costs and 23 contributes to the risk of sanctions. 24 AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND ORDER PAGE - 1 (Case No. 3:22-cv-05082-JHC)

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.

P. 26(b)(1) must be applied in each case when formulating a discovery plan. To further the application of the proportionality standard in discovery, requests for production of ESI and related responses should be reasonably targeted, clear, and as specific as possible.

As provided in LCR 26(f), the proportionality standard set forth in Fed. R. Civ.

#### B. **ESI Disclosures**

Within 30 days of entry of this Order, or at a later time if agreed to by the parties, each party shall disclose:

- Custodians. The five custodians most likely to have discoverable ESI in their 1. possession, custody, or control. The custodians shall be identified by name, title, connection to the instant litigation, and the type of the information under the custodian's control.
- 2. Non-Custodial Data Sources. A list of non-custodial data sources (e.g., shared drives, servers), if any, likely to contain discoverable ESI.
- 3. Third-Party Data Sources. A list of third-party data sources, if any, likely to contain discoverable ESI (e.g., third-party email providers, mobile device providers, cloud storage) and, for each such source, the extent to which a party is (or is not) able to preserve information stored in the third-party data source.
- 4. Inaccessible Data. A list of data sources, if any, likely to contain discoverable ESI (by type, date, custodian, electronic system or other criteria sufficient to specifically identify the data source) that a party asserts is not reasonably accessible under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(2)(B).

### C. **ESI Discovery Procedures**

On-site inspection of electronic media. Such an inspection shall not be required 1. absent a demonstration by the requesting party of specific need and good cause or by agreement of the parties.

- 2. <u>Search methodology.</u> The parties shall timely confer to attempt to reach agreement on appropriate search terms and queries, file type and date restrictions, data sources (including custodians), and other appropriate computer- or technology-aided methodologies, before any such effort is undertaken. The parties shall continue to cooperate in revising the appropriateness of the search methodology.
  - a. Prior to running searches:
- i. The producing party shall disclose the data sources (including custodians), search terms and queries, any file type and date restrictions, and any other methodology that it proposes to use to locate ESI likely to contain responsive and discoverable information. The producing party may provide unique hit counts for each search query.
- ii. The requesting party is entitled to, within 14 days of the producing party's disclosure, add no more than 5 search terms or queries to those disclosed by the producing party absent a showing of good cause or agreement of the parties.
- iii. The following provisions apply to search terms / queries of the requesting party. Focused terms and queries should be employed; broad terms or queries, such as product and company names, generally should be avoided. A conjunctive combination of multiple words or phrases (e.g., "computer" and "system") narrows the search and shall count as a single search term. A disjunctive combination of multiple words or phrases (e.g., "computer" or "system") broadens the search, and thus each word or phrase shall count as a separate search term unless they are variants of the same word. The producing party may identify each search term or query returning overbroad results demonstrating the overbroad results and a counter proposal correcting the overbroad search or query. A search that returns more than 250 megabytes of data,

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND ORDER (Case No. 3:22-cv-05082-JHC)

excluding Microsoft PowerPoint files, audio files, and similarly large file types, or 400 unique documents, excluding families, is presumed to be overbroad.

- b. After production: Within 21 days of the producing party notifying the requesting party that it has substantially completed the production of documents responsive to a request, the requesting party may request no more than 3 additional search terms or queries. The immediately preceding section (Section C(2)(a)(iii)) applies.
- Upon reasonable request, a party shall disclose information relating to c. network design, the types of databases, database dictionaries, the access control list and security access logs and rights of individuals to access the system and specific files and applications, the ESI document retention policy, organizational chart for information systems personnel, or the backup and systems recovery routines, including, but not limited to, tape rotation and destruction/overwrite policy.

### 3. Format.

- ESI will be produced to the requesting party with searchable text, in a format to be decided between the parties. Acceptable formats include, but are not limited to, native files, and single-page TIFFs (only with load files for e-discovery software that includes metadata fields identifying natural document breaks and also includes companion OCR and/or extracted text files).
- b. Unless otherwise agreed to by the parties, files that are not easily converted to image format, such as spreadsheet, database, and drawing files, will be produced in native format.
- Each document image file shall be named with a unique number (Bates c. Number). File names should not be more than twenty characters long or contain spaces. When a

text-searchable image file is produced, the producing party must preserve the integrity of the underlying ESI, *i.e.*, the original formatting, the metadata (as noted below) and, where applicable, the revision history.

- d. If a document is more than one page, the unitization of the document and any attachments and/or affixed notes shall be maintained as they existed in the original document.
- 4. <u>De-duplication.</u> The parties may de-duplicate their ESI production across custodial and non-custodial data sources after disclosure to the requesting party, and the duplicate custodian information removed during the de-duplication process may be tracked in a duplicate/other custodian field in the database load file.
- 5. <u>Email Threading.</u> The parties may use analytics technology to identify email threads and need only produce the unique most inclusive copy and related family members and may exclude lesser inclusive copies. Upon reasonable request, the producing party will produce a less inclusive copy.
- 6. Metadata fields. If the requesting party seeks metadata, the parties agree that only the following metadata fields need be produced, and only to the extent it is reasonably accessible and non-privileged: document type; custodian and duplicate custodians (or storage location if no custodian); author/from; recipient/to, cc and bcc; title/subject; email subject; file name; file size; file extension; original file path; date and time created, sent, modified and/or received; and hash value. The list of metadata type is intended to be flexible and may be changed by agreement of the parties, particularly in light of advances and changes in technology, vendor, and business practices.

### D. Preservation of ESI

The parties acknowledge that they have a common law obligation, as expressed in Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(e), to take reasonable and proportional steps to preserve discoverable information in the party's possession, custody, or control. With respect to preservation of ESI, the parties agree as follows:

- 1. Absent a showing of good cause by the requesting party, the parties shall not be required to modify the procedures used by them in the ordinary course of business to back-up and archive data; provided, however, that the parties shall preserve all discoverable ESI in their possession, custody, or control.
- 2. The parties will supplement their disclosures in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) with discoverable ESI responsive to a particular discovery request or mandatory disclosure where that data is created after a disclosure or response is made (unless excluded under Sections (D)(3) or (E)(1)-(2)).
- 3. Absent a showing of good cause by the requesting party, the following categories of ESI need not be preserved:
  - a. Deleted, slack, fragmented, or other data only accessible by forensics.
  - b. Random access memory (RAM), temporary files, or other ephemeral data that are difficult to preserve without disabling the operating system.
  - On-line access data such as temporary internet files, history, cache,
     cookies, and the like.
  - d. Data in metadata fields that are frequently updated automatically, such as last-opened dates (see also Section (E)(5)).
  - e. Back-up data that are duplicative of data that are more accessible

Data remaining from systems no longer in use that is unintelligible on the

A producing party shall create a privilege log of all documents fully withheld from

production on the basis of a privilege or protection, unless otherwise agreed or excepted by this

Agreement and Order. Privilege logs shall include a unique identification number for each

document and the basis for the claim (attorney-client privileged or work-product protection). For

ESI, the privilege log may be generated using available metadata, including author/recipient or

to/from/cc/bcc names; the subject matter or title; and date created. Should the available metadata

provide insufficient information for the purpose of evaluating the privilege claim asserted, the

producing party shall include such additional information as required by the Federal Rules of

Civil Procedure. Privilege logs will be produced to all other parties no later than 30 days after

of the complaint, the parties are not required to include any such information in privilege logs.

proceeding shall not, for the purposes of this proceeding or any other federal or state proceeding,

protected from disclosure and discovery under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(3)(A) and (B).

Redactions need not be logged so long as the basis for the redaction is clear on the

With respect to privileged or work-product information generated after the filing

Activities undertaken in compliance with the duty to preserve information are

Pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 502(d), the production of any documents in this

delivering a production unless an earlier deadline is agreed to by the parties.

1

elsewhere.

2

f. Server, system or network logs.

3

systems in use.

4

5

6

### E. **Privilege**

1.

2.

redacted document.

3.

4.

5.

g.

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

STORED INFORMATION AND ORDER (Case No. 3:22-cv-05082-JHC)

AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY

PAGE - 7

# Case 3:22-cv-05082-JHC Document 33 Filed 05/11/22 Page 8 of 9

1	constitute a waiver by the producing party of a	any privilege applicable to those documents,
2	including the attorney-client privilege, attorney w	vork-product protection, or any other privilege
3	or protection recognized by law. Information produced	uced in discovery that is protected as privileged
4	or work product shall be immediately returned to t	he producing party, and its production shall not
5	constitute a waiver of such protection.	
6		
7	DATED: May 10, 2022	
8		SHANLEY, A Professional Corporation
9	By:	/s/ Daniel M. Shanley Daniel M. Shanley
10		Attorney for Plaintiffs United Brotherhood of Carpenters and Joiners of America and
11		Pacific Northwest Regional Council of Carpenters
12		WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY, LLP
13	Ву:	/s/ R. Kennon Poteat III
14		R. Kennon Poteat III Kaitlin J. Beach
15		Attorney for Plaintiffs United Brotherhood of Carpenters and Joiners of America and
16		Pacific Northwest Regional Council of Carpenters
17		YOUNGLOVE & COKER, P.L.L.C.
18	By:	/s/ Edward Earl Younglove III
19		Edward Earl Younglove III Attorney for Defendant Kristine Cole
20		VANGUARD LAW
21	By:	/s/ Spencer Nathan Thal
22		Spencer Nathan Thal Attorney for Defendant Ken Ervin
23		Table of the second sec
24		
25	ACDEEMENT DECARDING DISCOVEDY OF ELECTRA	ONICALI V

AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND ORDER (Case No. 3:22-cv-05082-JHC)

## Case 3:22-cv-05082-JHC Document 33 Filed 05/11/22 Page 9 of 9

1	Presented by: /s/ Daniel M. Shanley
2	/s/ R. Kennon Poteat III /s/ Edward Earl Younglove III
3	/s/ Spencer Nathan Thal
4	ORDER
5	Based on the foregoing, IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	Dated this 11th day of May, 2022.
7	John M. Chan
8	The Honorable John H. Chun UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 l	ACDEEMENT DECARDING DISCOVEDY OF ELECTRONICALLY

PAGE - 9

STORED INFORMATION AND ORDER

(Case No. 3:22-cv-05082-JHC)