

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA  
AT BLUEFIELD

LINDA NICOLE SKEENS,

Plaintiff,

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:13-13600

CAROLYN W. COLVIN,  
Acting Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

**MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER**

By Standing Order, this action was referred to United States Magistrate Judge R. Clarke VanDervort for submission of findings and recommendation regarding disposition pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B). Magistrate Judge VanDervort submitted to the court his Findings and Recommendation ("PF&R") on August 29, 2014, in which he recommended that the court deny plaintiff's motion for judgment on the pleadings, grant defendant's motion for judgment on the pleadings, affirm the final decision of the Commissioner, and dismiss this matter from the court's docket.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), the parties were allotted fourteen days and three mailing days in which to file any objections to Magistrate Judge VanDervort's Proposed Findings and Recommendation. The failure of any party to file such objections within the time allowed constitutes a waiver of such party's right to a de novo review by this court. Snyder v. Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363 (4th Cir. 1989).

Neither party filed any objections to the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations within the required time period. Accordingly, the court adopts the Findings and Recommendations of Magistrate Judge VanDervort as follows:

1. Plaintiff's brief in support of the Complaint is **DENIED**;<sup>\*</sup>
2. Defendant's brief in support of the Commissioner's decision is **GRANTED**;
3. The final decision of the Commissioner is **AFFIRMED**; and
4. The case is **DISMISSED** from the court's docket.

The Clerk is directed to forward a copy of this Memorandum Opinion and Order to counsel of record.

**IT IS SO ORDERED** this 16th of September, 2014.

ENTER:



David A. Faber

Senior United States District Judge

---

<sup>\*</sup>The parties actually filed briefs in support of their respective positions and not motions for judgment on the pleadings.