IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

BECKLEY DIVISION

FRANK E. BELL,

Plaintiff,

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:11-cv-00560

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE and FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS,

Defendants.

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

The Court has reviewed the Plaintiff's *Complaint for Violation of Civil Rights* (Document 1) and *Application to Proceed Without Prepayment of Fees and Affidavit* (Document 2) filed on June 21, 2011, in the District of Columbia. On August 17, 2011, the District of Columbia transferred the matter to the Southern District of West Virginia. (Document 3).

By Standing Order (Document 5) entered on August 17, 2011, this action was referred to the Honorable R. Clarke VanDervort, United States Magistrate Judge, for submission to this Court of proposed findings of fact and recommendation for disposition, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636. On August 29, 2013, the Magistrate Judge submitted a Proposed Findings and Recommendation (Document 8) wherein it is recommended that this Court deny the Plaintiff's Application to Proceed Without Prepayment of Fees and Affidavit (Document 2), dismiss the Plaintiff's Complaint (Document 1), and remove this matter from the Court's docket. Objections to the Magistrate Judge's Proposed Findings and Recommendation were due by September 16, 2013.

Neither party has timely filed objections to the Magistrate Judge's *Proposed Findings and*

Recommendation. The Court is not required to review, under a de novo or any other standard, the

factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or

recommendation to which no objections are addressed. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985).

Failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of *de novo* review and the Petitioner's right to

appeal this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Snyder v. Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363,

1366 (4th Cir. 1989); *United States v. Schronce*, 727 F.2d 91, 94 (4th Cir. 1984).

Accordingly, the Court ADOPTS and incorporates herein the findings and

recommendation of the Magistrate Judge as contained in the Proposed Findings and

Recommendation, and **ORDERS** that the Plaintiff's Application to Proceed Without Prepayment

of Fees and Affidavit (Document 2) be **DENIED**, the Plaintiff's Complaint (Document 1) be

DISMISSED, and this matter be **REMOVED** from the Court's docket.

The Court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to send a certified copy of this Order to Magistrate Judge

VanDervort, counsel of record, and any unrepresented party.

ENTER:

September 17, 2013

IRENE C. BERGER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

2