Bunch v. Ziegler Doc. 9

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

BECKLEY DIVISION

CORDARO BUNCH,

Petitioner,

CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:11-cv-00998

JOEL ZIEGLER,

v.

Respondent.

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER ADOPTING PROPOSED FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION

The Court has reviewed Petitioner's Application Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 For Writ of *Habeas Corpus* By a Person in State or Federal Custody (Document 1). By Order (Document 3) entered on December 19, 2011, this action was referred to the Honorable R. Clarke VanDervort, United States Magistrate Judge, for submission to this Court of proposed findings of fact and recommendation for disposition, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B). On March 9, 2012, the Magistrate Judge submitted Proposed Findings and Recommendation ("PF&R") (Document 8), wherein it is recommended that this Court grant Petitioner's Motions for Voluntary Dismissal (Documents 6 and 7), dismiss Petitioner's Section 2241 Application without prejudice and remove this matter from the Court's docket.

The Court is not required to review, under a de novo or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). Failure to file

timely objections constitutes a waiver of de novo review and the Petitioner's right to appeal this

Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Snyder v. Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th

Cir.1989); United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91, 94 (4th Cir.1984). In addition, this Court need

not conduct a de novo review when a party "makes general and conclusory objections that do not

direct the Court to a specific error in the magistrate's proposed findings and recommendations."

Orpiano v. Johnson, 687 F.2d 44, 47 (4th Cir.1982). Objections to the PF&R in this case were

due no later than March 16, 2012. To date, no party has filed any objections.

Accordingly, the Court ADOPTS and incorporates herein the findings and

recommendation of the Magistrate Judge as contained in the Proposed Findings and

Recommendation, and ORDERS that Petitioner's Motions for Voluntary Dismissal (Documents 6

and 7) be **GRANTED** and Petitioner's Application Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 For Writ of Habeas

Corpus By a Person in State or Federal Custody (Document 1) be DISMISSED without

prejudice. Further, the Court **ORDERS** that this matter be removed from the docket.

The Court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to send a copy of this Order to counsel of record and any

unrepresented party.

ENTER: April 19, 2012

ene C

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

2