# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

## **BECKLEY DIVISION**

#### DONEVEN S. BAILEY,

Plaintiff,

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:14-cv-12865

# MS. VICKI GREENE and STEVEN D. CANTERBURY,

Defendants.

## MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

On March 21, 2014, the Plaintiff, acting *pro se*, filed his *Application to Proceed Without Prepayment of Fees and Costs* (Document 1) and his *Complaint* (Document 3) in this matter.

By *Standing Order* (Document 4) entered on March 21, 2014, this action was referred to the Honorable R. Clarke VanDervort, United States Magistrate Judge, for submission to this Court of proposed findings of fact and recommendation for disposition, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636. Subsequently, by *Order* (Document 15) entered on January 6, 2016, the case was referred to the Honorable Omar J. Aboulhosn, United States Magistrate Judge, for submission to this Court of proposed findings of fact and recommendation for disposition.

On September 23, 2016, Magistrate Judge Aboulhosn submitted a *Proposed Findings and Recommendation* (Document 18) wherein it is recommended that this Court deny the Plaintiff's *Application to Proceed Without Prepayment of Fees and Costs* (Document 1), dismiss the Plaintiff's *Complaint* (Document 3), and remove this matter from the Court's docket. Objections to the Magistrate Judge's *Proposed Findings and Recommendation* were due by October 11, 2016.

Neither party has timely filed objections to the Magistrate Judge's *Proposed Findings and Recommendation*. The Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). Failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of *de novo* review and a party's right to appeal this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *see also Snyder v. Ridenour*, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989); *United States v. Schronce*, 727 F.2d 91, 94 (4th Cir. 1984).

Accordingly, the Court **ADOPTS** and incorporates herein the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge as contained in the *Proposed Findings and Recommendation*, and **ORDERS** that the Plaintiff's *Application to Proceed Without Prepayment of Fees and Costs* (Document 1) be **DENIED**, the Plaintiff's *Complaint* (Document 3) be **DISMISSED**, and this matter be **REMOVED** from the Court's docket.

The Court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to send a certified copy of this Order to Magistrate Judge Aboulhosn, counsel of record, and any unrepresented party.

ENTER: October 18, 2016

half

IRENE C. BERGER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA