

under § 2244 had already expired. That the state courts may have considered some of these later applications on their merits does not reset the federal clock. DeJesus v. Acevedo, 567 F.3d 941 (7th Cir. 2009). No facts suggest that Wille is entitled to equitable tolling or that he could support a claim of actual innocence. Nor are any other circumstances present which would permit a federal court to consider his petition at this time. Accordingly, the petition must be dismissed as untimely.

For the reasons stated, **IT IS ORDERED** that the petition for a writ of habeas corpus is **DENIED**. The Clerk of Court shall enter final judgment. Pursuant to Rule 11 of the Rules Governing § 2254 Cases, I find that the petitioner has not made the showing required by 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2), and therefore I will not issue a certificate of appealability.

Dated at Milwaukee, Wisconsin, this 5th day of November, 2014.

s/ Lynn Adelman

LYNN ADELMAN
District Judge