

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA  
FIFTH DISTRICT

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO  
FILE MOTION FOR REHEARING AND  
DISPOSITION THEREOF IF FILED

QUOC VAN,

Petitioner,

v.

Case No. 5D14-4232

UNIFUND CCR, LLC.,

Respondent.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

Opinion filed January 16, 2015

Petition for Writ of Prohibition,  
Jessica Recksiedler, Respondent Judge.

Quoc Van, Sanford, pro se.

No Appearance for Appellee.

PER CURIAM.

Petitioner challenges the denial of his verified motion to disqualify the trial judge. We conclude that the motion was legally sufficient<sup>1</sup> and, accordingly, grant the writ of prohibition. See *Barnett v. Barnett*, 727 So. 2d 311, 312 (Fla. 2d DCA 1999) (“While it is well-settled that a judge may form mental impressions and opinions during the course of hearing evidence, he or she may not prejudge the case.”).

WRIT GRANTED.

SAWAYA, EVANDER and COHEN, JJ., concur.

---

<sup>1</sup> In determining the legal sufficiency of the motion, we must presume the facts alleged to be true. Fla. R. Jud. Admin. 2.330(f).