

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA  
FIFTH DISTRICT

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO  
FILE MOTION FOR REHEARING AND  
DISPOSITION THEREOF IF FILED

JANICE BROWN AND JOHN VONASEK,

Appellants,

v.

Case No. 5D15-1397

M & T BANK, ETC., ET AL.,

Appellees.

---

Opinion filed January 29, 2016

Appeal from the Circuit Court  
for St. Johns County,  
Howard M. Maltz, Judge.

Andrea N. Wright, of The Wright Firm,  
St. Augustine, for Appellants.

Cristine M. Russell, M. Scott Thomas  
and Timothy D. Hedrick, of Rogers  
Towers, P.A., Jacksonville, for  
Appellees.

LAWSON, C.J.

This foreclosure action presents an issue that does not appear to have been previously addressed in Florida: Whether the dismissal of a foreclosure action for lack of standing operates as an adjudication on the merits for purposes of res judicata. We hold that it does not, and affirm. *See Cutler v. Hayes*, 818 F.2d 879, 888 (D.C. Cir. 1987) (“Standing ranks amongst those questions of jurisdiction and justiciability not involving an

adjudication on the merits, whose disposition will not bar relitigation of the cause of action originally asserted, but may preclude, or collaterally estop, relitigation of the precise issues of jurisdiction adjudicated.” (footnotes omitted)); *McCarney v. Ford Motor Co.*, 657 F.2d 230, 233 (8th Cir. 1981) (“[A] dismissal based on standing is not “on the merits” and therefore will not act as a bar to a later suit.”); *Batterman v. Wells Fargo Ag Credit Corp.*, 802 P.2d 1112, 1118 (Colo. App. 1990) (noting that dismissal of a suit for lack of standing is also not “on the merits” of the underlying substantive claim and thus does not bar relitigation of cause of action previously asserted based on res judicata); *Gilbert v. Nampa Sch. Dist. No. 131*, 657 P.2d 1, 4 (Idaho 1983) (holding that prior dismissal for lack of standing was not an adjudication on the merits under language identical to rule 1.420(b); subsequent suit not barred by res judicata); *Fed. Home Loan Mortg. Corp. v. Schwartzwald*, 979 N.E.2d 1214, 1223 (Ohio 2012) (“The lack of standing at the commencement of a foreclosure action requires dismissal of the complaint; however, that dismissal is not an adjudication on the merits and is therefore without prejudice. Because there has been no adjudication on the underlying indebtedness, our dismissal has no effect on the underlying duties, rights, or obligations of the parties.” (internal citation omitted)). No other issue merits discussion.

AFFIRMED.

EVANDER and COHEN, JJ., concur.