IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL FIRST DISTRICT, STATE OF FLORIDA

JOHNNY BATTLE d/b/a BATTLE CONSTRUCTION and AMCOMP/PINNACLE BENEFITS INC.,

## NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE MOTION FOR REHEARING AND DISPOSITION THEREOF IF FILED

CASE NO. 1D04-3507

Appellants,

v.

TIM E. GENTRY,

Appellee.

\_\_\_\_/

Opinion filed March 17, 2005.

An appeal from an order of the Judge of Compensation Claims. Honorable David W. Langham, Judge.

H. George Kagan, Esquire, Miller, Kagan, Rodriguez and Silver, P. A., West Palm Beach, for Appellants.

Michael J. Demarko, Pensacola, for Appellee.

PER CURIAM.

This case comes to us on appeal from an order of the Judge of Compensation

Claims (JCC) finding that the claimant's notice of election to be exempt pursuant to

section 440.05(3), Florida Statutes (2001), was invalid because of the lack of an oath

as the statute requires. Section 440.05(3), provides that certain construction industry workers can exempt themselves from the requirements of workers' compensation law by mailing a written notice of election to be exempt that is notarized and under oath. The JCC found that the form was signed before the notary, but that the claimant had not taken an oath and, therefore, ruled that the claimant was an employee entitled to workers' compensation benefits. We reverse the JCC's order because the JCC's finding that the claimant had not taken an oath is erroneous.

The word "oath" is defined in part as a "solemn declaration, accompanied by a swearing to God or a revered person or thing, that one's statement is true or that one will be bound to a promise," and a "statement or promise made by such a declaration." BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY 1099 (7th ed. 1999). <u>See also</u> <u>Youngker v. State</u>, 215 So. 2d 318, 321 (Fla. 4<sup>th</sup> DCA 1968) (stating "[a]n oath may be undertaken by any unequivocal act in the presence of an officer authorized to administer oaths by which the declarant knowingly attests the truth of a statement and assumes the obligation of an oath"). Section 1.01(5), Florida Statutes (2001), provides that "[t]he word 'oath' includes affirmations." The claimant affirmed that the information contained on the form was true, and he bound himself to the promise that he would secure workers' compensation benefits for himself. The claimant, therefore,

took an oath as section 440.05(3) requires, and the JCC erred in ruling that the notice of election to be exempt was invalid. Accordingly, we REVERSE. VAN NORTWICK, POLSTON AND THOMAS, JJ., CONCUR.