IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL FIRST DISTRICT, STATE OF FLORIDA

MAYNOR E. MONNAR,

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE MOTION FOR REHEARING AND

Appellant,

DISPOSITION THEREOF IF FILED

v.

CASE NO. 1D06-1923

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Appelle	e.		

Opinion filed June 16, 2008.

An appeal from the Circuit Court for Lafayette County. Harlow H. Land, Jr., Judge.

Maynor E. Monnar, pro se, Appellant.

Charlie Crist, Attorney General, and Anne C. Conley, Assistant Attorney General, Tallahassee, for Appellee.

PER CURIAM.

The Florida Supreme Court, in <u>State v. Monnar</u>, 976 So. 2d 581 (Fla. 2008), quashed the decision of this court reported at 939 So. 2d 251 (Fla. 1st DCA 2006), and remanded the case to this court for a "thorough review of the record" and application of a harmless error analysis based upon its decision in <u>Galindez v. State</u>, 955 So. 2d 517 (Fla. 2007).

The supreme court's decision did not, however, supersede or disapprove of our decision in <u>Isaac v. State</u>, 911 So. 2d 813 (Fla. 1st DCA 2005), which held that <u>Apprendi v. New Jersey</u>, 530 U.S. 466 (2000), and <u>Blakely v. Washington</u>, 542 U.S. 296 (2004), although decided after Isaac's conviction and original sentence were final, apply to any resentencing that took place after <u>Apprendi</u> came down, even resentencings taking place before <u>Blakely</u> was decided. On this point, <u>Isaac</u> still controls, not as law of the case, but as governing precedent within the First District.

In <u>Monnar</u>, the supreme court noted that it could not conduct a harmless error analysis because the record failed to contain enough information or record attachments for a review. Similarly, this court does not possess a sufficient record to allow such an analysis. Consequently, we reverse the order under review and remand for the trial court to reexamine the appellant's claim in light of <u>Galindez</u>. Should the trial court again deny the claim, it shall attach record portions establishing that no reasonable jury could have returned a verdict finding that the appellant did not inflict severe injury upon the victim. <u>See Galindez</u>, 955 So. 2d at 523.

REVERSED and REMANDED with directions.

WEBSTER, BENTON, and VAN NORTWICK, JJ., CONCUR.