## DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA FOURTH DISTRICT January Term 2007

## TEDRICK DONALDSON,

Petitioner,

v.

## STATE OF FLORIDA,

Respondent.

No. 4D07-1094

[ May 16, 2007 ]

PER CURIAM.

Petitioner is currently serving sentences in the Department of Corrections for charges from Polk County. Indian River County has filed a detainer against him, and the petition alleges that an information charging armed robbery was filed in 2003. Petitioner filed a notice of expiration of the speedy trial *without demand* time limitation in early 2007. Fla. R. Crim. P. 3.191(a). He then filed a motion for discharge.

Petitioner is not entitled to application of the speedy trial without demand time limitation under these circumstances. Edwards v. Allen, 603 So. 2d 514 (Fla. 2d DCA), review denied, 613 So. 2d 3 (Fla. 1992). Neither the detainer, nor the filing of the information, constitute an arrest for purposes of rule 3.191(a). Id. To the extent the petition alleges entitlement to relief on this basis, i.e. the speedy trial without demand rule, the petition is denied. If an information has been filed in the Indian River County case, petitioner can file a demand for speedy trial pursuant to rule 3.191(b).

The petition for writ of prohibition is denied without prejudice.

GUNTHER, POLEN and HAZOURI, JJ., concur.

\* \* \*

Petition for writ of prohibition to the Circuit Court for the Nineteenth Judicial Circuit, Indian River County; Robert Pegg, Judge; L.T. Case No. 02-277 CF.

Tedrick Donaldson, Perry, pro se.

No response required for respondent.

Not final until disposition of timely filed motion for rehearing