



in prison for burglary of a structure in case 12-CF-174. We affirm. However, despite the trial court granting Reames' motion to correct scrivener's errors, Reames' corrected orders of probation still contain the errors. Specifically, both orders reflect that he admitted to the violations of probation when he actually contested the violations. Also, the order of revocation in case 12-CF-174 erroneously reflects that the trial court found him to have violated conditions one and sixteen of his probation, when the trial court orally found him to have violated only condition one in that case. Accordingly, we remand for entry of corrected written orders of revocation of probation in cases 11-CF-1684 and 12-CF-174. See Moore v. State, 100 So. 3d 81 (Fla. 2d DCA 2011). Reames need not be present when the corrections are made.

Affirmed and remanded for correction of the written orders of revocation of probation.

LaROSE, KHOUZAM, and CRENSHAW, JJ., Concur.