



The postconviction court failed to address Ms. Johnson's claim that she was entitled to jail credit from the date of her arrest but instead only addressed the credit due from the issuance of her capias. It is possible that Ms. Johnson was served with her capias while in jail on her warrantless arrest. The record failed to refute her claim. This was error and requires reversal. See Foley v. State, 857 So. 2d 292, 293 (Fla. 2d DCA 2003) (reversing the denial of a motion for jail credit because the postconviction court failed to refute the defendant's claim that he was entitled to credit from the date of his arrest until sentencing). The postconviction court should promptly review Ms. Johnson's claim in light of her expected date of release. Accordingly, we reverse the postconviction court's order and remand for reconsideration of Ms. Johnson's rule 3.801 motion.

Reversed and remanded for reconsideration.

ALTENBERND, WALLACE, and MORRIS, JJ., Concur.