NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED

	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL
	OF FLORIDA
	SECOND DISTRICT
MOSES D. McCRAY,)
Petitioner,)
v.) Case No. 2D16-1265
STATE OF FLORIDA,)
Respondent.) }
	/

Opinion filed October 5, 2016.

Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the Circuit Court for Polk County; Wayne M. Durden, Judge.

Howard L. Dimmig, II, Public Defender, Robert A. Young, General Counsel, and David Horton, Assistant Public Defender, Bartow, for Petitioner.

Pamela Jo Bondi, Attorney General, Tallahassee, and Helene S. Parnes and Cornelius Christopher Demps, Assistant Attorneys General, Tampa, for Respondent.

PER CURIAM.

In this certiorari proceeding, a criminal defendant who has been adjudicated incompetent to proceed due to mental illness seeks relief from those

portions of a trial court order that denied his motion to dismiss the information and continued, with modifications, a program of conditional release previously imposed pursuant to section 916.17, Florida Statutes (2014). To the extent he seeks relief from that portion of the trial court's order continuing the program of conditional release, the petition for writ of certiorari is granted and that portion of the trial court's order is guashed. Petitioner did not gualify for involuntary commitment under section 916.13 and therefore was not eligible for placement on conditional release under section 916.17 as a matter of statutory text. See § 916.17 (providing for placement on a program of conditional release "in lieu of" placement in involuntary commitment); Dep't of Children & Families v. Carmona, 159 So. 3d 165, 167 (Fla. 2d DCA 2015) ("[C]onditional release under section 916.17 is appropriate only when a defendant meets the criteria for commitment " (quoting Dep't of Children & Family Servs. v. Amaya, 10 So. 3d 152, 156 (Fla. 4th DCA 2009))); see also Gonzalez v. State, 15 So. 2d 37, 39 (Fla. 2d DCA 2009) ("A departure from the essential requirements of law . . . can be shown by misapplication of the plain language in a statute.").

To the extent the petition seeks relief from that portion of the trial court's order denying dismissal of the information, it is denied based on a failure to show a departure from the essential requirements of law because fewer than five years have elapsed since the original determination that Mr. McCray was incompetent to proceed due to mental illness. See § 916.145; Fla. R. Crim. P. 3.213(a)(1); State v. Benninghoff, 188 So. 3d 64, 67 (Fla. 4th DCA 2016) (providing a collection of cases that stand for the proposition that "[c]ases reviewing the dismissal of charges, pursuant to section

916.145, Florida Statutes, and rule 3.213 of the Florida Rules of Criminal Procedure have uniformly and consistently enforced the five-year requirement before dismissal").

Petition granted in part and denied in part.

LaROSE, SLEET, and SALARIO, JJ., Concur.