



not include the detailed facts and allegations required by rule 3.801(c)(1)-(5). Rather than striking the facially insufficient motion and allowing Bashara to amend it as required by rule 3.801(e), the postconviction court entered a detailed order with record attachments finding that Bashara was not entitled to any more jail credit than he was awarded.

On appeal, Bashara filed an initial brief making detailed allegations concerning his claim of jail credit deficiency that the attachments to the postconviction court's order do not refute. Because the postconviction court did not provide Bashara with an opportunity to amend his facially insufficient motion, we reverse and remand with directions for the court to allow Bashara sixty days to amend his motion to comply with rule 3.801(c).

Reversed and remanded with directions.

LaROSE, CRENSHAW, and MORRIS, JJ., Concur.