

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING
MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL
OF FLORIDA
SECOND DISTRICT

WILLIE LEE HARRIS,)	
)	
Appellant,)	
)	
v.)	Case No. 2D05-3961
)	
STATE OF FLORIDA,)	
)	
Appellee.)	
)	
_____)	

Opinion filed October 28, 2005.

Appeal pursuant to Fla. R. App. P.
9.141(b)(2) from the Circuit Court for
Hillsborough County; Robert A.
Foster, Jr., Judge.

PER CURIAM.

Affirmed. See Bolender v. State, 658 So. 2d 82 (Fla. 1995); Alexander v. State, 830 So. 2d 899 (Fla. 2d DCA 2002); Brown v. State, 827 So. 2d 1054 (Fla. 2d DCA 2002). As this court did in Alexander, we certify the same question of great public importance:

ARE ALLEGATIONS OF AFFIRMATIVE MISADVICE BY TRIAL COUNSEL ABOUT THE SENTENCE ENHANCING CONSEQUENCES OF A DEFENDANT'S PLEA FOR FUTURE CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR IN AN OTHERWISE FACIALLY SUFFICIENT MOTION COGNIZABLE AS AN INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL CLAIM?

Affirmed; question certified.

WHATLEY, STRINGER, and KELLY, JJ., Concur.