



rate accompanied by the trial court's determination of the reasonableness of the hours worked and the rate charged. The former husband's motion for rehearing in which he raised this error was denied. Absent specific written findings regarding the basis for determination of the amount awarded, we are compelled to reverse and remand for further proceedings. See Fla. Patient's Comp. Fund v. Rowe, 472 So. 2d 1145, 1151 (Fla. 1985); Burnham v. Burnham, 884 So. 2d 390, 392 (Fla. 2d DCA 2004).

The former husband also argues that the alimony award was not supported by specific findings and that the trial court failed to make findings with regard to the valuation of the couple's marital assets and debts. The former husband has provided no transcript of the proceedings. In the absence of a transcript, the former husband cannot overcome the presumption of correctness of the trial court's determinations. See Esaw v. Esaw, 965 So. 2d 1261, 1264-65 (Fla. 2d DCA 2007) (holding that the lack of findings supporting an alimony award and equitable distribution of marital property was not reversible error where the appellant failed to provide a transcript of the hearing or an acceptable substitute).

Accordingly, we reverse the trial court's order of dissolution of marriage solely with respect to the award of attorney's fees and remand for a new hearing so that the trial court may set forth specific findings as to the reasonableness of the hours expended and the hourly rate, as required by Rowe. The trial court's final order of dissolution of marriage is affirmed in all other respects.

Affirmed in part; reversed in part.

ALTENBERND and DAVIS, JJ., and GALLEN, THOMAS M., ASSOCIATE SENIOR JUDGE, Concur.