## NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL

OF FLORIDA

SECOND DISTRICT

VISKY BLAIN,

Appellant,

٧.

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Appellee.

Case No. 2D10-5493

Opinion filed December 9, 2011.

Appeal pursuant to Fla. R. App. P. 9.141(b)(2) from the Circuit Court for Pinellas County; Philip J. Federico, Judge.

PER CURIAM.

Visky Blain appeals the summary denial of his motion for postconviction

relief filed pursuant to Florida Rule of Criminal Procedure 3.850, which the

postconviction court denied as untimely. We affirm. See Barrios-Cruz v. State, 63 So.

3d 868 (Fla. 2d DCA 2011). As in Barrios-Cruz, 63 So. 3d at 870, we hold that Padilla

v. Kentucky, 130 S. Ct. 1473 (2010), does not apply retroactively in postconviction

proceedings and we certify to the Florida Supreme Court the following question of great

public importance pursuant to Florida Rule of Appellate Procedure 9.030(a)(2)(A)(v):

SHOULD THE RULING IN <u>PADILLA V. KENTUCKY</u>, 130 S. Ct. 1473 (2010), BE APPLIED RETROACTIVELY IN POSTCONVICTION PROCEEDINGS?

Affirmed.

DAVIS, KELLY, and LaROSE, JJ., Concur.