NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL
OF FLORIDA
SECOND DISTRICT

RUBIN A. STROUD, a/k/a RUDIN A. STROUD,)
Appellant,)
V.) Case No. 2D11-1208
STATE OF FLORIDA,)
Appellee.)))

Opinion filed March 7, 2012.

Appeal from the Circuit Court for Hillsborough County; Daniel H. Sleet, Judge.

James Marion Moorman, Public Defender, and J. Rafael Rodriguez, Special Assistant Public Defender, Bartow, for Appellant.

Pamela Jo Bondi, Attorney General, Tallahassee, and Tonja Rene Vickers, Assistant Attorney General, Tampa, for Appellee.

VILLANTI, Judge.

Rubin Stroud challenges his convictions and sentences for aggravated assault with a deadly weapon. We affirm his convictions without comment. As to his sentences, we write because Stroud correctly argues that the written judgment and

sentences fail to accurately reflect the trial court's oral pronouncement that his multiple minimum mandatory sentences run concurrently. See Denson v. State, 633 So. 2d 1137, 1138 (Fla. 2d DCA 1994) (explaining that multiple minimum mandatory sentences must be imposed concurrently rather than consecutively when the convictions do not arise from separate incidents). However, Stroud did not properly preserve this issue by means of a Florida Rule of Criminal Procedure 3.800(b) motion. Therefore, we affirm his sentences without prejudice to any right Stroud might have to raise the sentencing issue in a motion filed pursuant to Florida Rule of Criminal Procedure 3.800(a) or 3.850. See Jackson v. State, 983 So. 2d 562, 568 (Fla. 2008); McElrath v. State, 10 So. 3d 1209, 1210 (Fla. 2d DCA 2009).

Affirmed.

DAVIS and LaROSE, JJ., Concur.