NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED

| | IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | OF FLORIDA |
| | SECOND DISTRICT |
| JORGE ARMANDO DAVILA, Appellant, |))) |
| v. |) Case No. 2D11-2021 |
| STATE OF FLORIDA, |) |
| Appellee. |) |

Opinion filed February 15, 2012.

Appeal pursuant to Fla. R. App. P. 9.141(b)(2) from the Circuit Court for Collier County, Frederick R. Hardt, Judge.

Grisel Ybarra, Miami, for Appellant.

PER CURIAM.

Jorge Armando Davila appeals the summary denial of his motion for postconviction relief filed pursuant to Florida Rule of Criminal Procedure 3.850. We affirm. See Barrios-Cruz v. State, 63 So. 3d 868 (Fla. 2d DCA 2011). As in Barrios-Cruz, 63 So. 3d at 870, we hold that Padilla v. Kentucky, 130 S. Ct. 1473 (2010), does not apply retroactively in postconviction proceedings, and we certify to the Florida Supreme Court the following question of great public importance pursuant to Florida Rule of Appellate Procedure 9.030(a)(2)(A)(v):

SHOULD THE RULING IN <u>PADILLA V. KENTUCKY</u>, 130 S.Ct. 1473 (2010), BE APPLIED RETROACTIVELY IN POSTCONVICTION PROCEEDINGS?

Affirmed; question certified.

WALLACE, KHOUZAM, and CRENSHAW, JJ., Concur