

IN THE SECOND DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL, LAKELAND, FLORIDA

October 26, 2012

In the Interest of D.E., J.E., and K.E.,)
children.)
_____)
L.E.,)
)
Appellant,)
)
v.)
)
DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND)
FAMILY SERVICES, and GUARDIAN AD)
LITEM PROGRAM,)
)
Appellees.)
_____)

Case Nos. 2D11-2083
2D11-2088

CONSOLIDATED

BY ORDER OF THE COURT:

Appellee's motion to correct opinion is granted. The prior opinion dated September 28, 2012, is withdrawn and the attached opinion is issued in its place.

I HEREBY CERTIFY THE FOREGOING IS A
TRUE COPY OF THE ORIGINAL COURT ORDER.

JAMES R. BIRK HOLD, CLERK

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING
MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL
OF FLORIDA
SECOND DISTRICT

In the Interest of D.E., J.E., and K.E.,)
children.)
_____)
L.E.,)
Appellant,)
v.)
DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND)
FAMILY SERVICES, and GUARDIAN AD)
LITEM PROGRAM,)
Appellees.)
_____)

Case Nos. 2D11-2083
2D11-2088

CONSOLIDATED

Opinion filed October 26, 2012.

Appeal from the Circuit Court for Lee
County; James H. Seals, Judge.

J.L. "Ray" LeGrande of LeGrande &
LeGrande, P.A., Fort Myers, for Appellant.

Jeffrey Dana Gillen, Statewide Appeals
Director, West Palm Beach, for Appellee
Department of Children and Family
Services.

Kelly Schaeffer, Statewide Guardian Ad
Litem Office, Tavares, for Appellee
Guardian Ad Litem Program.

KHOUZAM, Judge.

L.E., the Mother, appeals two final judgments terminating her parental rights to her three children. The judgments were entered following a single trial addressing her rights to all three children.

On appeal, L.E. argues that the evidence was insufficient to support termination under section 39.806(1)(c), Florida Statutes (2010). We disagree. The final judgment of termination is supported by competent, substantial evidence introduced at trial. Consequently, the trial court did not err in terminating the Mother's parental rights and we must affirm.

Judgments affirmed.

LaROSE and BLACK, JJ., Concur.