NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL
OF FLORIDA
SECOND DISTRICT

ALFONSO PEDRAZA,	
Appellant,)	
v.)	Case No. 2D11-872
STATE OF FLORIDA,	
Appellee.)	

Opinion filed December 9, 2011.

Appeal pursuant to Fla. R. App. P. 9.141(b)(2) from the Circuit Court for Polk County; Karla F. Wright, Judge.

Alfonso Pedraza, pro se.

PER CURIAM.

Alfonso Pedraza appeals the summary denial of his motion for postconviction relief filed pursuant to Florida Rule of Criminal Procedure 3.850, which the postconviction court denied as untimely. We affirm. See Barrios-Cruz v. State, 63 So. 3d 868 (Fla. 2d DCA 2011). As in Barrios-Cruz, 63 So. 3d at 870, we hold that Padilla v. Kentucky, 130 S. Ct. 1473 (2010), does not apply retroactively in postconviction proceedings and we certify to the Florida Supreme Court the following

question of great public importance pursuant to Florida Rule of Appellate Procedure 9.030(a)(2)(A)(v):

SHOULD THE RULING IN <u>PADILLA V. KENTUCKY</u>, 130 S. Ct. 1473 (2010), BE APPLIED RETROACTIVELY IN POSTCONVICTION PROCEEDINGS?

Affirmed.

DAVIS, KELLY, and LaROSE, JJ., Concur.