## NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED

	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL
	OF FLORIDA
	SECOND DISTRICT
JOHN FREDERICK PERIE,  Appellant,  v.  STATE OF FLORIDA,  Appellee.	) ) ) ) Case No. 2D12-4840 ) )
	<del></del> /

Opinion filed June 14, 2013.

Appeal pursuant to Fla. R. App. P. 9.141(b)(2) from the Circuit Court for Manatee County; Edward Nicholas, Judge.

John Frederick Perie, pro se.

WALLACE, Judge.

We affirm the postconviction court's summary denial of John Frederick
Perie's motion filed under Florida Rule of Criminal Procedure 3.850 as untimely filed.
We agree with the First District that Missouri v. Frye, 132 S. Ct. 1399 (2012), and Lafler v. Cooper, 132 S. Ct. 1376 (2012), do not establish a new fundamental constitutional right as contemplated by rule 3.850(b)(2) and thus do not provide an exception to the two-year time limit for filing a timely postconviction motion. See Simmons v. State, 104

So. 3d 1185, 1186-87 (Fla. 1st DCA 2012). As the First District explained in Simmons,

the two decisions issued by the Supreme Court of the United States

are but a refinement of decisions already handed down, a clearer articulation of existing law that does not establish new constitutional rights: Both <u>Lafler</u> and <u>Frye</u> are properly viewed as "evolutionary refinements" to well-established rules governing ineffective assistance of counsel claims in the context of convictions predicated on pleas.

<u>Id.</u> (citing <u>Walton v. State</u>, 77 So. 3d 639, 643 (Fla. 2011), and <u>State v. Barnum</u>, 921 So. 2d 513, 526 (Fla. 2005)).

Affirmed.

CASANUEVA and BLACK, JJ., Concur.