

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING  
MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL  
OF FLORIDA  
SECOND DISTRICT

TEREK DIASTI a/k/a Derek Diasti, )  
 )  
 Appellant, )  
 )  
 v. )  
 )  
 DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE, )  
 )  
 Appellee. )  
 \_\_\_\_\_ )

Case No. 2D12-5582

Opinion filed September 25, 2013.

Appeal pursuant to Fla. R. App. P. 9.130  
from the Circuit Court for Hillsborough  
County; Gaston J. Fernandez , Judge.

Lorena L. Kiely, Tampa, for Appellant.

Pamela Jo Bondi, Attorney General, and  
William H. Branch, Assistant Attorney  
General, Tallahassee, for Appellee.

MORRIS, Judge.

Terek Diasti a/k/a Derek Diasti appeals a nonfinal order that determines both that the trial court had personal jurisdiction over Diasti and that the trial court had subject matter jurisdiction over the cause of action. We have jurisdiction to review a nonfinal order that determines the jurisdiction over the person, Fla. R. App. P. 9.130(a)(3)(C)(i), and to the extent that the order on appeal deals with that issue, we

affirm without further comment. However, to the extent that the order on appeal addresses subject matter jurisdiction, it is a nonfinal, nonappealable order. See Grasso v. Grasso, 113 So. 3d 855, 856 (Fla. 2d DCA 2012); L.A.D. Prop. Ventures, Inc. v. First Bank, 19 So. 3d 1126, 1128 (Fla. 2d DCA 2009); Hitt v. Homes & Land Brokers, Inc., 993 So. 2d 1162, 1165 (Fla. 2d DCA 2008). We therefore dismiss that portion of the appeal.

Affirmed in part, dismissed in part.

NORTHCUTT and BLACK, JJ., Concur.