## Supreme Court of Florida

\_\_\_\_\_

No. SC06-1063

\_\_\_\_\_

## RODOLFO CASAS,

Petitioner,

VS.

## SIEMENS ENERGY AND AUTOMATION, INC., Respondent.

[November 15, 2007]

## PER CURIAM.

We have for review <u>Casas v. Siemens Energy & Automation, Inc.</u>, 927 So. 2d 922 (Fla. 3d DCA 2006), in which the Third District Court of Appeal cited with approval its decision in <u>Bombay Co., Inc. v. Bakerman</u>, 891 So. 2d 555 (Fla. 3d DCA 2004), <u>quashed</u>, 961 So. 2d 259 (Fla. 2007). At the time the Third District Court issued its decision in <u>Casas</u>, <u>Bakerman</u> was pending review in this Court. We have jurisdiction. <u>See</u> art. V, § 3(b)(3), Fla. Const.; <u>Jollie v. State</u>, 405 So. 2d 418 (Fla. 1981).

We stayed proceedings in this case pending disposition of <u>Bakerman</u>, in which this Court ultimately quashed the Third District Court's underlying

Bakerman decision. See Bakerman v. Bombay Co., Inc., 961 So. 2d 259 (Fla. 2007). We accordingly issued an order directing respondent to show cause why we should not accept jurisdiction in the present case, quash the <u>Casas</u> decision, and remand for reconsideration in light of our decision in <u>Bakerman</u>. Upon consideration of respondent's response, petitioner's reply thereto, and the parties' previously filed jurisdictional briefs, we have determined to so proceed.

We accordingly grant the petition for review in the present case. The decision under review is quashed and this matter is remanded to the Third District Court of Appeal for reconsideration upon application of this Court's decision in Bakerman.

It is so ordered.

LEWIS, C.J., and WELLS, ANSTEAD, PARIENTE, QUINCE, CANTERO, and BELL, JJ., concur.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION, AND IF FILED, DETERMINED.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Direct Conflict of Decisions

Third District - Case No. 3D04-742

(Dade County)

Philip D. Parrish, Miami, Florida, and Donna B. Michelson, Coconut Grove, Florida,

for Petitioner

John H. Pelzer of Ruden, McClosky, Smith, Schuster and Russell, P.A., Fort Lauderdale, Florida,

for Respondent