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                    THIRD DISTRICT
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        Charo Bolanos Ruiz, for appellee.
Before GODERICH, FLETCHER, and RAMIREZ, JJ.
    PER CURIAM.
```

The plaintiff, Jerry Gray, appeals from an adverse final judgment entered in favor of the defendant, $D \& J$ Industries, Inc. We affirm.

The plaintiff contends that the trial court erred by granting the defendant's motion for directed verdict. We disagree.

The construction of a contract is a question of law for the courts to determine where the language used in the written contract is clear, unambiguous, and susceptible of only one interpretation. See Mariner Cay Property Owners Ass'n, Inc. v. Topside Marina, Inc., 714 So. 2d 1130 (Fla. $4^{\text {th }}$ DCA 1998) ("It is a cardinal rule that the construction of all written instruments is a question of law and belongs to the courts, provided 'the language used is clear, plain, certain, undisputed, unambiguous, unequivocal, and not subject to conflicting inferences.'") (quoting Okeelanta Corp. v. Bygrave, 660 So. 2d 743, 747 (Fla. $4^{\text {th }}$ DCA 1995)). Our de novo review of the unambiguous written contract indicates that the trial court's interpretation was accurate. Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund v. Lost Tree Village Corp., 805 So. 2d 22 (Fla. 4 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ DCA 2001). Therefore, we affirm the order under review.

The remaining points raised by the appellant lack merit. Affirmed.

