

**Electronically Filed
Supreme Court
SCPW-15-0000194
15-MAY-2015
12:23 PM**

SCPW-15-0000194

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

BRUCE ROBERT TRAVIS, Petitioner,

vs.

STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND
CONSUMER AFFAIRS; REAL ESTATE COMMISSION; REGULATED INDUSTRIES
COMPLAINT OFFICE; CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIRST CIRCUIT; and
INTERMEDIATE COURT OF APPEALS, Respondents.

ORIGINAL PROCEEDING
(CAAP-12-0000046; CIVIL NO. 11-1-1090)

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR A PEREMPTORY WRIT OF MANDAMUS
(By: Recktenwald, C.J., Nakayama, McKenna, Pollack, and Wilson, JJ.)

Upon consideration of petitioner Bruce Robert Travis's petition for a peremptory writ of mandamus, filed March 23, 2015, the documents attached thereto and submitted in support thereof, and the record, it appears that petitioner fails to demonstrate that he has a clear and indisputable right to extraordinary relief or that he lacked alternative means to seek relief. See Kema v. Gaddis, 91 Hawai'i 200, 204, 982 P.2d 334, 338 (1999) (a writ of mandamus is an extraordinary remedy that will not issue unless the petitioner demonstrates a clear and indisputable right to relief and a lack of alternative means to redress adequately

the alleged wrong or obtain the requested action; Honolulu Advertiser, Inc. v. Takao, 59 Haw. 237, 241, 580 P.2d 58, 62 (1978) (a writ of mandamus is not intended to supersede the legal discretionary authority of the trial courts, cure a mere legal error, or serve as a legal remedy in lieu of normal appellate procedure). Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the petition for a peremptory writ of mandamus is denied.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawai'i, May 15, 2015.

/s/ Mark E. Recktenwald

/s/ Paula A. Nakayama

/s/ Sabrina S. McKenna

/s/ Richard W. Pollack

/s/ Michael D. Wilson

